


illic heu miseri traducimur!
Juvenal

Instauration®

VOL 8. NO. 1

DECEMBER 1982



THE MOST NUMEROUS U.S. ETHNIC GROUP IS . . .

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

☐ Phil Donahue recently had a gushy show about the Amerasian children of GIs. First, a government "expert" said that one reason why we should consider them ours is they look a lot like us and not much like Asians. He also said that these mixed children are the most beautiful kind around. No one on the show could possibly object to bringing all the kids over here without being seen as a "moral monster." Those behind the scenes who put together the Donahue show should at least have the decency to show themselves to the ladies, instead of their pretty-boy front man. Then the ladies would have a clue as to who really wants to turn their country and race upside down and inside out.

222

☐ It's too bad that your otherwise excellent magazine is flawed by a lack of understanding of monetary economics and the Federal Reserve System. Paul Volcker is one of us.

652

☐ While I was in Hong Kong recently I was sitting beside a non-Communist Chinese. While thumbing through a magazine I came across a double-page spread of pictures of an ape, a primitive man and a modern man. As I flipped the page, the Chinese said, "Please, may I see that?" I said, "It's about the Darwin theory." The Chinese replied, "Oh, please let me see it." I asked him, "Do you really believe I am descended from a monkey?" He replied, "Yes." "And are you Chinese descended from monkeys also?" I inquired. The Chinese man replied, "Oh, no! It is you Westerners who are descended from monkeys. Look at the hair on your bodies. We Chinese are descended from God!"

606

☐ Sylvia Fine Kaye has produced another PBS-TV special. Sylvia is the wife of movie has-been David Daniel Kaminsky, aka Danny Kaye. When the latter was a hot picture property back in the 1940s, Madame Kaye took over as his manager and special materials writer. So obnoxious and domineering was her manner, that it transcended mere "pains posterioris." She became a standing joke all over the lot. As one Hollywood trade paper related, a studio writer had reason to call her office. "Sylvia Fine Kaye," she announced grandly. There was no response on the phone from the other end; only a long stage wait. "Who is this?" she snapped. The caller came on finally and identified himself. "Why didn't you answer before?" demanded La Kaye. "I was busy," he said, "bowing." As for "Danny Boy" himself, when actors like Clark Gable, Jimmy Stewart and Robert Taylor were flying combat missions, Danny remained in Hollywood clamoring loudly for a "Second Front" to rescue Russia. Apart from his hammy excesses as an outraged ex-concentration camp survivor in CBS's bogus "Skokie," he hasn't had a part in a movie in years.

970

☐ I realize it's kind of old hat newswise, but the thought just occurred to me. Can you imagine what that Washington jury's verdict would have been on John Hinckley Jr. if, instead of shooting Reagan, he had plugged any of the following: Benjamin Hooks of the NAACP, Andrew Young or Jesse Jackson?

191

☐ When the plantation owners and their sons went to the War Between the States, their wives and daughters remained perfectly safe at home, surrounded sometimes by hundreds of slaves. They were far safer than women are today on the streets of any city with a Negro population. Why?

734

☐ Israel is the ally of the United States as a fungus is the ally of the stump on which it feeds.

306

☐ Instauration (Dec. 1981) reported that Michael Hansen, a candidate for mayor in Glen Cove, Long Island, had claimed that citizens of Mediterranean and Jewish descent were over-represented in local politics while Nordics and Slavs were virtually shut out. In a newsletter poll Hansen asked registered Republicans, "Do you believe that the priorities of Nordics are different from those of Mediterraneans?" That perfectly reasonable question got him denounced as a "bigot" by incumbent Republican Mayor Alan Parente, who, naturally, was later reelected. Lately Glen Cove has been in the national news for refusing to let members of a local Soviet installation use the city's recreational facilities. The ensuing controversy got Mayor Parente on ABC News Nightline with Ted Koppel -- and guess what? He has the silky blond hair and regular features that any Swede might envy.

401

☐ The Jim Thorpe Association was founded not too long ago. Its sole reason for being -- and it has been successful -- was to restore the late Indian athlete's medals, which were taken away from him when he compromised his amateur status. A few months ago the TV news showed a yardful of intelligent, articulate, well-dressed young Nordic types, gathered to fight for "justice for Jim Thorpe." All I could think was: we could never get a group like this to attend a white survival meeting or even a rally to demand that America enforce its immigration laws. They are all "much too good" for that.

903

☐ In our country if a landlord practices racial discrimination he may be put in prison. If a school practices racial discrimination, it can be denied all federal aid. It is rather hypocritical for us to pour billions of dollars into a country that has officially been designated as "racist" by 90% of the world's nations.

802

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by

Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$25 regular (sent third class)

\$15 student (sent third class)

Add \$10.50 for first class mail

\$32.50 Canada and foreign

Add \$17.00 for overseas air

Single copy price \$2, plus 75¢ postage

Wilmot Robertson, Editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen

Third class mail is not forwardable.

Please advise us of any change of address well in advance.

© 1982 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS

The Most Numerous U.S. Ethnic Group Is . . .	6
Holocaust Revisionism for Beginners.....	9
Hey There, Dr. King!	11
Is There a Florida in Your Future?	12
The 1982 Campaign, Ugh!.....	14
Cultural Catacombs.....	18
Inklings	20
Cholly Bilderberger	22
Notes from the Sceptred Isle	24
From the Ould Sod	25
Talking Numbers.....	26
Primate Watch	27
Elsewhere.....	28
Stirrings.....	31

☐ I have found Cholly Bilderberger's recent discussion of evidence for the acceleration of America's collapse so interesting that you might pass on to him a couple of core ideas upon which he could expand:

1. Among the full range of planetary biota, only humans evince a propensity for suicide so widespread that self-murder might be considered to have a genetic basis. Liberalism in its present form is actually the mask of an unconscious drive to kill the self. "Meaninglessness generates liberalism generates meaninglessness," not the other way around.

2. The incessant and ubiquitous advertising which is America generates insanity. Absolutely no source of information to the public is free of it. It urges us on to ever more frenzied attempts to fulfill mutually incompatible goals and destroys the sanity-bringing attempts at reflection which man's natural psychotherapies, the religions, used to prescribe. Advertising thus gives rise to the notion of ephemeral meaningfulness, a contradiction in terms, with its fads and fashions.

These two elements have jockeyed America into the grip of the deadly vortex in which it now finds itself. Given the inevitable increase in economic and other competition in the coming years, we can expect total fulfillment of the American death wish. Seeing that the international banking system has in large part already collapsed, this day cannot be far off.

In fact, a very severe economic collapse may occur next year. This may be one reason why the U.S. military has been straining every sinew to get the much-discussed military space platform and its missiles developed. In the surreal world in which we now live, only the viable threat of the Ultimate Savagery (U.S. for short) will allow us a few more minutes of dalliance in Disneyland.

984

☐ On August 22, Los Angeles Country sheriffs were forced to close down and cancel the final day of the annual Watts Festival. (Why one of the ugliest cases of rapine, wholesale murder and arson should be commemorated with a "Festival" is a mite paradoxical.) "The same causes of the Watts 'revolt' in 1965 are still here today," grouched the "culud" lady organizer, "racism, po-lice harassment, no jobs." To hear her tell it, "Whitey" was to blame, not the mob of over 2,000 boozed-up, bottle-throwing blacks, fighting among themselves, looting and wrecking all the concession stands (the latter built and operated by other blacks). Ironically, the canceled last day was to have been "Unity Day" with a musical celebration that couldn't have been staged anyway. Somebody had already made off with the entire sound system, several thousand "Welfare" bucks worth.

915

☐ "Man as Sense Organ of the Earth" (May-June 1982) raises questions traditionally answered by religion. The soul is the personification of the organic life of the individual. The spiritual world is inside man, but we project it outside. Biology (genes) determines spirituality as well as personality, intelligence and character. I have a soul, which is not immortal, and is an expression of the soul of my race, which is immortal.

770

☐ I enjoyed the short article in June's issue comparing Britain's clean, quiet prisons to America's wild and crazy ones, including the lines from Richard Lovelace ("Stone walls do not a prison make"). About the same time (1630), another English poet, John Taylor, wrote in his poem, "The Virtue of a Jail":

I think a jail a school of virtue is,
A house of study, and of contemplation:
A place of discipline and reformation.

A very few all-Nordic prisons may remain to this day. But an inmate at the mostly black Jackson, Michigan, prison was quoted in Time as saying, "In here not having a knife is a death sentence." James Earl Ray got stabbed 22 times. Avowed racist Joseph Paul Franklin got stabbed only 15 times. Did you know that one of the white girls jogging alongside the two blacks whom Franklin allegedly shot had previously been raped by a black? She said her father once told her that she couldn't go out "with niggers," but she could date blacks!

615

☐ I note a Canadian reader who feels that forty dollars is too much to pay for a year of Instauration. I cannot judge this Canadian's circumstances, but I enclose my check for \$50 for one year of Instauration. I am a warehouse worker in a non-union warehouse, and I will pay more if necessary. Instauration is a small light in the darkness. Never turn it out.

303

☐ On NBC's "Saturday Night Live" a scheming, philandering FDR is having a conference with an insecure, alcoholic Churchill and a cold, calculating Stalin. Churchill agonizes that Roosevelt has encouraged him to go to war with Germany against Britain's best interests, and things are going badly for both the Empire and his reelection chances. Stalin expresses understandable worries about the inexorable eastward march of the Wehrmacht. Roosevelt says not to worry. Even though most Americans are solidly against intervention and he himself had been reelected by promising not to get involved, he has a secret plan to get the U.S. into the war. Churchill and Stalin then leave, much relieved.

Enter the Japanese ambassador, who offers a comprehensive and reasonable plan for reconciliation of Japanese and American differences. He is repeatedly insulted and rebuffed by Roosevelt, who doesn't let up until the ambassador is left no choice but to say "this means war" -- exactly what Roosevelt wants.

Fade out to the present. The above scene is being watched gleefully by Richard Nixon and G. Gordon Liddy in a screening room decorated by American flags and swastikas. "If we can get them to believe that story, then we can return to power. Remember the glorious old days, Gordon?" Nixon says, smiling evilly. As the bit ends, they give the NS salute to a sickly rendition of Deutschland über alles.

220

☐ Reading Instauration is like being a former cancer patient and finding out that you have not only been cured, but you are now in better health than you were before you came down with your affliction.


150

☐ Odd you should mention Eleanor Roosevelt's alleged Jewish paramour and Young Communist League member, Joseph Lash (Primate Watch, August 1982). It brought to mind a couple of columns the late Westbrook Pegler did on the affair during WWII. Peg pointed out that while those on the home front were risking life and limb coasting their cars down hills to save a little gas, Eleanor the Grate, in a makeshift Red Cross uniform, commanded a gas-guzzling bomber to fly all over the South Pacific to visit her boyfriend. According to a WWII vet who was there, when fellow Marines chided her son, a captain, as to why the old girl hadn't visited him on their island, his reply was, "Look, fellows, who needs her -- besides, she's nutz anyway!" There have been recent allegations of the lady's past Lesbian leanings -- a revelation made, then quickly covered up, but not before her "She-Was-One-Of-Us" picture was carried in a Gay Rights parade. In light of these declarations regarding the lady's lifestyle, imagine how Pegler, a former sportswriter, would have delighted in pointing out that La Boca Grande was a "switch hitter."

770

☐ Canada's loathed (by four out of five whites, polls now indicate) Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau bumbled the Governor General's private railway cars to cross the country on an August vacation. Enroute, the train was bombarded with rotten fruit, eggs and stones. At one stop in B.C., little (5' 4") Pierre gave the silent, respectful, placard-carrying throngs the rude finger. That triggered it. From then on huge cardboard "fingers" and chants of "Trudeau is a bum!" greeted our revered leader right across the land. He hardly dares go into the western countryside any longer. A small army is required to protect this wretched "one-worlder" from being pelted with rocks and insults. Some of the bumper stickers are downright brutal. One has a big raised middle finger with the words, "Pierre, Canada Salutes You."

Canadian subscriber



If we can make everyone remember something that never happened, it'll be a cinch to make everyone forget what did happen.

□ The recent spate of murders of white IBM employees by blacks in the District of Columbia and North Carolina is not the common garden variety of Negro street crime. These are "black-frustration-at-working-in-an-advanced-white-technological-society" killings.

223

□ The victor in the California Senate race, Pete Wilson, is neither fish, flesh nor fowl politically. He left the State Assembly in 1971 to come down to San Diego to be mayor -- the idea being that the Republican National Convention was to be held here in 1972, and he figured being mayor of the convention city would catapult him into national prominence. Unfortunately, the Canadian manager of the San Diego Sports Arena refused to give into all the demands of the GOP, so their convention was moved to Florida. Anyway, it left our boy mayor with considerable *oeut en visage*. He later backed Ford against Reagan in 1976, and has now come out against the president's new tax hike. Ask any San Diego cop what he thinks of our Pete! He's fought their pay raises for years. Unloved by almost all city employees, Wilson is an egotistical ass and a dull character with a dull intellect. His wife divorced him last year after 13 years of connubium. Loser Jerry Brown, the fags' and felons' good friend, is an insipid ass, just like Father Pat before him -- which makes him a "chip off the old blockhead."

100



□ Over the years I have, like so many others, noticed some grave distortions by the media -- particularly in presidential primaries and elections. Those of us who remember that LBJ was "finished" after New Hampshire in 1968, even though he had 65%, were amazed that Gerald Ford wasn't finished in 1976 with his mere 50%. Somehow Reagan's 49% in the GOP and 10% write-in in the Democratic primary in New Hampshire wasn't good enough. LBJ was finished, not because he lost the support of the Democratic rank and file (he didn't), but because he lost the support of those who count, the you-know-whos. That's why he quit. Hubert Humphrey understood the simple truth. The same media did not seem to think that New Englander George Bush's disastrous showing in New Hampshire in 1980 should disqualify him from the race. Only when it was realized, grudgingly, that Reagan was going to get the nomination with or without CBS/NBC/ABC's wholehearted support, no one except preppie Bush was ready to risk his political career against the veteran campaigner. Moreover, as Reagan sacrificed more and more of his "conservative" positions in the interest of "pragmatism" (i.e., "getting there"), it was not necessary or possible to present him as an "extreme" right-wing Goldwaterite. Considering all the above and that Carter was very unpopular and probably "un-re-electable," Reagan was "allowed" to make it to the general election. At that point the professional pollsters and pundits joined the media in propagating the final big lie of the campaign by telling us that the race was too close to call. So close that Carter ended up with 39%, perhaps the worst percentage for a defeated incumbent president since Herbert Hoover. Reagan squeaked by with a "landslide" 55%.

606

□ I suspect that if the Arabs were ever able to achieve even a near technical and quantitative parity with the Jews they would give them one helluva licking. PLO members, in particular, appear to me far better motivated than do the Israelis. Murderous Israel may be digging itself a big hole. But then I've never considered the Jews a truly intelligent race. Cunning, cruel and politically sophisticated, certainly. But careless now with their awesome international clout, appearing hell-bent to self-destruct. Strange, afflicted people, unable to see that if they do indeed win it all, in the end they will have lost.

572

□ At a time when almost all currencies are endangered by all sorts of machinations and debasements, remember what happened to the "mint men" of England in 1125. King Henry I had hoarded silver pennies of good quality, worth four of the so-called Rouen pennies. He was determined to keep these coins from being debased. When the King found out they had been, he summoned all the ministers of England to come to Winchester. I quote from the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle: "When they came thither, they were taken one by one and deprived each of the right hand and the testicles beneath, for they had ruined all the country with the great quantity of base coin . . ."

222

□ The minority media barons who control our lives by controlling the tube may have made a gross miscalculation in their fall programming, one which may come back to haunt them. I am referring to "Bring 'Em Back Alive." The late animal hunter and collector, Frank Buck, on whom this series is loosely based, was one of the Majority heroes of the 20th century. A handsome, virile, adventurous man, Buck personified the Anglo-Saxon stereotype which until the present had been the model for Majority youth. Of impeccable Northern European credentials, son of a Texas pioneer family of the type which created the U.S., Buck was idolized by one and all. The popular folk hero image that he created while exploring the jungles of Asia in the 30s and 40s remained with him until his death in 1950 and lives on even today. A resurgence of interest in such a man can only work to our mutual betterment and, therefore, to the ultimate detriment of the present masters of America.

447

□ Your analysis of Jewish "inductionism" in the article about Stephen Jay Gould (August 1982), though good, missed the point, which is that there is no such thing as "inductive" logic. As Bertrand Russell pointed out in his *Logic and Knowledge: Essays, 1901-50*, all inference is really deduction, including the inference commonly referred to as the inductive inference and what passes as induction is either a disguised deduction or methodical guesswork. It seems, like so many things Jewish, this preeminently Jewish thought form subsists on fraudulent premises -- a supreme irony and a double entendre on the ever gullible goyim.

212

□ Did you ken that Associate Supreme Court Justice Sandra O'Connor sided with the Court majority in sanctioning the NAACP's extortion operation against white Mississippi merchants and in approving election laws that permit a white elected to office in a predominantly black district to be challenged on the grounds of racial discrimination? Sandra, however, was decent enough to vote against the Court majority's ruling that Texas has to pay for the education of the multiplicative kids of illegal wetbacks.

321

□ Regarding "Man as Sense Organ of the Earth," it is satisfying that a penetrating white thinker is finally coming around to a world view similar to that of many mystics. Although the author uses materialistic methodology, he is nonetheless forced through this circuitous route to the same conclusions arrived at long ago through other modes of experience.

604

□ I can't resist a word of praise for the keen and noteworthy issue of August 1982. Super, super was "Ravished Lebanon," written by a master.

038

□ My professor in a composition course I completed last spring was enraged at the idea of my questioning the Holocaust. While she gave me a "B+" for the course, she wrote lengthy rebuttals on all my papers.

471

□ I do not see sperm banks as too practical. It is considerably easier for a woman to find any sort of man to "donate" sperm to her than it is for a high IQ introverted Majority male to find women who will let him impregnate them. I have been married 10 years and have only been able to browbeat my wife into having three kids. At that I am doing better than most white males my age. I have never met any woman who wanted more than a minimal number of children. When I was courting my wife, I mentioned 12 children. She countered with six, but now disavows all knowledge of this agreement. What I would really like to see are egg banks. High IQ and glamorous Majority women tend to get into careers or situations where raising families bypasses them. Such women produce dozens of unused eggs during their fertile years. There are few beauty queens among the mothers of my children's peers. As I see it, an egg bank could do many things. Buy an egg from an egg donor and remove it. Fertilize the egg at the correct point in the process. Implant the egg or embryo in a host mother hired by the egg bank. Supervise gestation and delivery. The purchaser could make the final payment when tests have been made to assure that the infant is his. I don't know about Bo Derek's brights, but assuming she is not dumb, her eggs would bring a pretty penny. For that matter, using host mothers to give birth to a batch of you and your wife's own children would make it possible to have many children.

240

□ One Instaurionist criticized Southern red-necks for preferring 4/4 pickups to Honda Civics. Having owned one Honda Civic and several Ford pickups, I know which I'd rather be driving if a Cadillac full of blacks tried to run me off the road some night. I have been around blacks a fair amount. They tend to see white pickup drivers as tougher hombres than small foreign car drivers.

424

□ Reagan's brother Neil once said, "I know that he doesn't dye his hair and he is not a conservative." No one listened.

928

□ Reader's Digest (August 1982) carried a short item on occupational family names like Miller, Carpenter and Smith. The article then went on to predict occupational names of the future, such as Bill Fundraiser, Richard Analyst and Mable Keypuncher. Monikers of the next century not mentioned were Philip (why not Marvin?) Pornseller, Willy Arsonist, Charlene Dolewoman, Leroy Mugger, Bruno Loanshark, Amos Scapegoat and Dr. Abel Abortionist.

922

□ I was recently talking to a Coast Guard recruiter of obvious Majority background. Our conversation touched on computerization. I mentioned that many errors blamed on computers were actually equal opportunity errors. He laughed and said he wasn't supposed to talk about that, but half of his four recruit-per-month quota (maximum) was a "quota" quota. He said he often felt bad about having to turn qualified whites away and accept nonwhites with less preparation or promise. He mentioned that he often had good white prospects check their genealogy for a remote American Indian ancestor.

442

□ Here in Philadelphia the Personnel Support Command ordered the celebration of "Hispanic Week," Sept. 13-19, "in honor of the Hispanic people who have enriched our daily lives, our traditions and our national security." Weinberger said the Department of Defense "owes a debt of gratitude to the Hispanics for their contribution to the culture, heritage and freedom of the nation." Reagan one-upped him: "Thirty-nine of them have received the Medal of Honor, America's highest military decoration. This is a higher proportion of such heroes than in any other group." It would be interesting to know how Reagan defined "group."

191

□ Anent the piece, "The Trend Continues" (August 1982), the list of Soviet secret police chiefs who were Jews and met an untimely death should have included the first head of the Cheka, Moshe Uritsky who, according to my father's recollection, was murdered by a Jewess belonging to the leftist Social Revolutionary party, which was liquidated totally by the Bolsheviks.

142

□ Some years ago I decided to become a Catholic. As is the case with many converts, I probably became more Catholic than the pope. In the 1960s, however, disillusion set in. The so-called ecumenical movement was, to me, a farce. Subsequent changes undermined the discipline and continuity of the Church, which at first irritated, then totally offended my sensibilities. At that time, about 1963, I had my first heart attack. During convalescence I had time to think, reflect and to read in a serious manner. Gradually it became clear to me that I had become an agnostic, that I really could not believe in a personal God who would listen and answer the petitions of one of the billions of peculiar-looking creatures running around on an obscure grain of the universe. If there was a Supreme Being, a single originating force, we, as humans, would never be capable of understanding his nature or the purpose of this world. I have come to believe that "organized religion," for the most part, is a waste of time and it does not serve the intent and objectives of the teachings of Christ. Those denominations which align themselves with the National and World Councils of Churches are so busy demonstrating and marching and fighting for "equal rights" that they have abandoned the moral and spiritual responsibilities owing their communicants. The so-called Moral Majority has entered into some sort of alliance with Israel which defies my understanding. Contrary to the beliefs of many, there is really no relationship between a man's morality and his views toward religion. I think it is a shame basic morality is not taught in our public schools. I feel there should be courses dealing in the most simple and direct manner, with the need for honesty, integrity, reliability, courage and fairness. I accept as much of the blame as the next man for the sorry mess we leave our children. We followed a false and foolish ideology. The sound philosophy of our founding fathers has long been buried beneath the stupid mouthings of liberal cant. Like lemmings, we have blindly followed the leadership of a suicidal cult which may accurately be described as egalitarian Christianity.

338

□ Cholly was very poor in the August issue. While there was truth in what he said, his brush was far too broad. If the U.S. were a homogeneous Majority society living according to natural law, it would not rape the environment. The U.S. is really an occupied nation ruled by alien internationalists who force alien values and lifestyles upon us. In our ignorance we permit this. Cholly should take the time to explain this, not make us feel guilty and unworthy. What Cholly seems to be saying is that we are no better than nonwhites -- that we are basically rotten and incorrigible. We are trying to awaken our people and show them that we have the ability to win. Cholly is a specialist in negativity.

902

□ The September 2 broadcast of "All Things Considered" on National Public Radio devoted 10 minutes to writer John Barth's new novelette, *Sabbatical*. The protagonist is a part-Jewish, part-Gypsy female professor of Classical American Literature who, mind you, is "possibly descended from Edgar Allan Poe." I find this last bit of information paradoxical since Poe had no offspring and could not abide nonwhites, especially Negroes. Barth's work also features the Professor's mix-match mate, a blueblood WASP All-American named Fenwick Scott Key Turner. This "possible descendant" of the author of our national anthem, which Poe ridiculed as an "old English drinking song," is also the author of a naughty book about his former employers in the CIA. I almost forgot to mention that Barth volunteered his notion that his female subject, the quasi-Jewess, was not unlike American "literature" itself: part-Jewish, part-Gypsy, possibly descended from E.A. Poe. I hope poor Poe, who had enough troubles when he was alive, isn't listening.

552

□ Over the years, watching the press cover forced busing, I have noticed how the means, not the end, has been made the issue. Take away objections to the goal -- race-mixing -- and what do you have? Merely opposition to a means ("coercive transportation" as Pat Schroeder calls it). Only a "racist" would dare to oppose the "noble" end itself. All electable candidates stress their support for integration and affirmative action, so they can only oppose busing from the point of view of "safety." Percy said it was his reason, even though after the 1972 elections, as before, he continued to vote for it. The idea is to save your opposition to this unpopular issue for the local yokels. Don't pack it with you to Washington.

333

□ In view of the comportment (awful, to say the least) of the ERAites (nasty mailgrams, threats, etc.) and the appearance of the likes of Eleanor Smeal and her bulldyke followers, contrasted with Mrs. Schlafly's well-behaved and normal-looking women, the fate of ERA may have been resolved, at least for the nonce, by the aesthetic judgment of the people.

204

□ I am taking a course called Western Civilization (1600-1939). One of the 50,000 Jewish college professors is teaching it.

211

THE MOST NUMEROUS U.S. ETHNIC GROUP IS . . .

Richard Smith is a typical member of the American Majority. He has calculated that his ancestry is 3/4 English and Scots-Irish, 1/8 German, 1/16 Polish and 1/16 Ukrainian. Rather pleased with this little breakdown, he readily recites it to all and sundry who show the least interest. One day several years ago, an employee of the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Study (CPS) called on Richard at home with matters of ancestry and language solely in mind.

Usually our Census bureaucrats seem to care more about the number of garbage disposal units on each floor of an apartment house than about the kind of people using them. In November 1979, however, the residents of 54,000 randomly selected households were questioned about their ancestry, citizenship, year of immigration, mother tongue, ability to speak English, and related matters. Aside from the almost insurmountable problem of putting together a sample that represents an accurate cross-section of the American population in its present state of racial anarchy, the CPS study used the wrong methods.

When the Census man asked him his ancestry, Richard Smith began, "Well, I'm three-fourths a mixture of English and . . ." He was promptly cut off with, "We aren't interested in percentages."

"Actually," rebounded Smith, "I'm a little of everything -- Polish, German, English, Scots-Irish, Ukrainian." The tabulator wearily recorded "Scots-Irish" as "Scottish-Irish," though he actually knew better. For all the Census Bureau cared, citizen Smith's ancestry was now composed of six equal parts. Worse yet, even that misleading response was later coded simply as "Polish, German" since in most cases of three or more ancestries, only the first two ancestries, not necessarily the primary ancestries, went into CPS data banks. Richard Smith's wife, who is one-half English, one-quarter Irish and one-quarter Danish, was coded as "English, Irish."

When the CPS released its report No. 116 of the Special Studies Series P-23, entitled "Ancestry and Language in the United States" (compiled in late 1979 but not issued until March 1982), a lot of newspapers announced the results with headlines like "German Ancestry Leads in a Survey of U.S. Ethnicity" (*New York Times*) and "U.S. Roots Deep in Germany" (*Chicago Tribune*). According to the press, more than 51 million Americans consider themselves at least partly German (i.e., extrapolating from the 54,000 households) and 44 million at least partly Irish, but only 40 million partly English. Furthermore, some 17 million Americans allegedly call themselves "pure German," as opposed to 11½ million entirely English. There is a greater element of truth here, given the German Americans' greater regional concentration and later arrival.

On the other hand, how many of the "mixed" Germans were Jews? The Census, as usual, did not dare ask the all-important

question of Jewish ancestry. What, besides "Russian," did Jews call themselves and, more to the point, how many Jews are there in America? If there are many more than the "official" 5,920,000, in what ancestral categories did they take refuge?

Had our hypothetical Smiths read about the Census Bureau's tally of ancestry, they would likely have concluded, "It looks like our kind is dying out." The "evidence" was that only 22.3% of those Americans who reported at least one specific ancestry included English, while only 6.4% reported English alone. The Smiths would have taken the findings a lot less seriously had they known that their own WASPish selves had as good a chance of being recorded as "Polish" and "German" as "English" and "Irish." Also, if the Smiths had added up a total for the British category (English, Scots, Welsh), they would have obtained a figure of more than 56 million, which would have topped the German total by 5 million. If a few million of the 43.7 million who claimed Irish ancestry were included (there must have been quite a few from Northern Ireland), the British contingent would have shot up even higher.

One does not expect the newspapers to report a complex demographic study very accurately. Regrettably, a careful perusal of the Census Bureau's own report of its ancestry findings does not throw much more light on the problem. Apparently its ancestry question was *open-ended* (i.e., no prelisted categories were provided), and it was based upon *self-identification*. Some respondents (including many blacks) reported no ancestral group; most reported one or several. All single- and double-ancestry responses were recorded as given. In addition, 17 triple-origin ancestries which were expected to be common, such as "English-French-Irish," were recorded in full. But in all other cases of triple-or-greater-origins, only two origins were recorded -- and as previously noted, not always the primary ones.

This helps to explain why, in the CPS survey, nearly 10 million Americans reported their ancestry as wholly or partly "American Indian," while only 1.4 million called themselves *racially* "American Indian" in the 1980 Census. Anyone who has spent much time in the South knows how some bemused Anglo-Saxons there will off-handedly say something like, "I'm English, Irish and Cherokee." (That usually means they are partly Protestant Scots-Irish, and that their great, great Uncle Clem married a hillbilly girl who said she was descended from Pocahontas.)

Since a great many people in the Survey were recorded as having two ancestries (and those in the 17 special triple-origin categories were scored for three), the total ancestral percentage comes to 156.9% rather than 100%.

The ancestries most frequently *recorded* are listed on the chart at right. We do not say "reported," since many multiple ancestries were reported but not recorded.

AMERICAN ANCESTRAL GROUPS

BY NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE

Ancestry (all figures except % in thousands)	Total	Percentage of Total	Single Ancestry	Multiple Ancestry	Single Ancestry as %	Multiple Ancestry as %
Reported at least one specific ancestry	179,078	100.0	96,496	82,582	53.9	46.1
German	51,649	28.8	17,160	34,489	33.2	66.8
Irish	43,752	24.4	9,760	33,992	22.3	77.7
English	40,004	22.3	11,501	28,503	28.7	71.3
Afro-American, African	16,193	9.0	15,057	1,136	93.0	7.0
Scottish	14,205	7.9	1,615	12,590	11.4	88.6
French (<i>not</i> French Canadian)	14,047	7.8	3,047	11,000	21.7	78.3
Spanish (mostly mestizo)	12,493	7.0	9,762	2,731	78.1	21.9
Italian, Sicilian	11,751	6.6	6,110	5,641	52.0	48.0
American Indian	9,900	5.3	2,053	7,847	20.7	79.3
Polish	8,421	4.7	3,498	4,923	41.5	58.5
Dutch	8,121	4.5	1,362	6,759	16.8	83.2
Swedish	4,886	2.7	1,216	3,670	24.9	75.1
Norwegian	4,120	2.3	1,232	2,888	29.9	70.1
Russian (mostly Jewish)	3,466	1.9	1,496	1,970	43.2	56.8
Welsh	2,568	1.4	455	2,113	17.7	82.3
Czechoslovakian	1,695	0.9	794	901	46.8	53.2
Danish	1,672	0.9	438	1,234	26.2	73.8
Hungarian	1,592	0.9	534	1,058	33.5	66.5
Swiss	1,228	0.7	312	916	25.4	74.6
Austrian	1,070	0.6	385	685	36.0	64.0
French Canadian	1,053	0.6	582	471	55.3	44.7
Greek	990	0.6	567	423	57.3	42.7
Portuguese	946	0.5	493	453	52.1	47.9
Lithuanian	832	0.5	317	515	38.1	61.9
Filipino	764	0.4	525	239	68.7	31.3
"Slavic"	722	0.4	300	422	41.6	58.4
Chinese, Taiwanese	705	0.4	540	165	76.6	23.4
Japanese	680	0.4	529	151	77.8	22.2
Finnish	616	0.3	255	361	41.4	58.6
Canadian	609	0.3	228	381	37.4	62.6
Ukrainian	525	0.3	231	294	44.0	56.0
Yugoslavian	467	0.3	283	184	60.6	39.4
Belgian	448	0.3	113	335	25.2	74.8
"Scandinavian"	340	0.2	110	230	32.4	67.6
Romanian	335	0.2	132	203	39.4	60.6
Lebanese	322	0.2	179	143	55.6	44.4
Korean	265	0.1	230	35	86.8	13.2
Vietnamese	198	0.1	177	21	89.4	10.6
West Indian	193	0.1	129	64	66.8	33.2
Jamaican	184	0.1	158	26	85.9	14.1
Asian Indian	182	0.1	156	26	85.7	14.3
Iranian	118	0.1	103	15	87.3	12.7
Other specified ancestry groups	4,942	2.8	2,372	2,571	48.0	52.0
Ancestry not specified	37,535	17.3				
"American" or "United States"	13,592	6.3				
Unclassifiable (such as religion)	195	0.1				
Not reported	23,748	11.0				

Clearly this tally cannot be accepted at face value. Even when the English, Scots and Welsh are combined into one British group (and some overlapping individuals are eliminated), the total barely exceeds the German one. This finding is completely at odds with the careful nationality estimates made by the 70th Congress for the year 1920. Then, 41.4% of America's white population was calculated to be *primarily* of British ancestry, as against 16.3% German, 11.2% Irish and a tiny 1.9% French.

It is true that British Americans have had somewhat smaller families than most other groups since 1920, and that they have been underrepresented in the immigration total in the last 60 years (as have Germans, Irish and French, for that matter). Still, anyone who knows the America of the 1980s -- who has traveled the land, studied the faces and, perhaps most importantly, scanned a good many telephone books, knows that, even allowing for name changes, the white American stock remains considerably more British than either German or Irish.

A survey which reports that there are 14 million French Americans (*not* French-Canadian Americans, a separate group) as against only 40 million English Americans cannot be taken seriously. There is simply no record of so much French immigration to the United States at any time, nor do many French names exist to support the claim. Apparently, the idea of being partly French is a romantic one to millions of old stock Americans, many of whom undoubtedly are 1/64 or 1/128 French. After all, small numbers of Frenchmen did roam widely over the American interior at a very early date.

The CPS survey is not entirely without value. For one thing, it suggests the number of Americans who misappraise their ancestry, and how they do so. Secondly, it offers a rough *index of assimilation* for European ethnic groups. Generally, the smaller a nationality and the nearer it is to England, the lower the proportion of Americans who will be solely (as opposed to partly) of that ancestry. Thus, at one extreme, are the Scots, the Dutch and the Welsh. Only 11.4%, 16.8% and 17.7% respectively of these kinds of Americans reported a single ancestry. The much more numerous English Americans have a higher proportion of nationally "pure" specimens -- 28.7%. Other heavily mixed groups are the (primarily self-styled) "French" Americans, only 21.7% of whom claim purity; the Irish, 22.3% pure; Swedes 24.9%; Belgians 25.2%; Swiss 25.4%; Danes 26.2%; Norwegians 29.9%; Germans (shielded somewhat by their numbers) 33.2%; Hungarians 33.5%; and Austrians 36.0%.

The Eastern European nationalities are notably less intermixed. The Romanians, though few in number, are still 39.4% "pure"; Finns 41.4%; Poles 41.5%; Russians (apparently mostly Jewish) 43.2%; Ukrainians 44.0%; and Czechoslovakians 46.8%.

Less intermixed are the Mediterranean groups. Fifty-two percent of Italian Americans are still all Italian. The equivalent figures for other groups are: Portuguese 52.1%; French Canadians (whose French ancestry is genuine) 55.3%; Greeks 57.3%; Yugoslavians 60.6%. An extra-European group which has joined the melting pot (though *who* they are melting with is another matter) is the Lebanese, only 55.6% of whom are still ethnically "pure."

As for the Afro-Americans, 93.0% of them reported just that one ancestry, though sophisticated blood tests would probably show that nearly all have a few white genes.

The groups which interest us most are the 13.6 million

Americans who insisted on describing their ancestry as "American" or "United States," and the 23.75 million who would not report an ancestry at all. The Census Bureau had this to say about the former:

About 14 million persons provided a response of "American." If persons gave an initial response of "American" to the ancestry question, interviewers were instructed to explain that ancestry refers to the specific nationality of the person or his or her ancestors prior to their arrival in the United States. However, if the respondent still reported "American," then that response was accepted.

Who are these people? What was going through their heads when they stated for the second time that they were of "American" ancestry? The answers to those questions could supply many of the "missing" English Americans. But only an American leadership which cares passionately about the makeup of its people can hope to fully untangle the genetic skein produced by generations of reckless immigration and breeding.

Note: In 1971 the Census Bureau released a 1969 study similar to the CPS study above. Entitled "Characteristics of the Population by Ethnic Origin," it stated there were 20 million Americans of German descent, 19.1 million of English descent. Consequently, the figures in the new CPS study represent an increase of more than 50 million German and English Americans in the last ten years. What are we to think -- that the myriads of illegal aliens sneaking in from Mexico were incognito Germans and Anglo-Saxons?

Ponderable and Unponderable Quotes on the Middle East

I was in Shatila and Sabra and I killed Palestinians. I will continue to kill them all my life . . . For my part, I was very happy with what happened.

*Elias Shuweikah,
Lebanese Christian Militia Commander,
in an interview on Israeli television,
Oct. 3, 1982*

Sooner or later we'll have to announce: if any Arab army crosses this green line we reserve the right to use atomic weapons, and if it crosses the red line we'll drop the bomb automatically, even if this whole country is blown up by nuclear retaliation. You don't believe it? Try us!

*Ephraim Kishon
Jerusalem Post, April 25, 1976*

Far from restraining Israel, Washington has become its satellite. No American president since Eisenhower has taken a stern line with Israel. Israeli politicians have learnt to ignore Washington's verbal complaints while Arabs regard them as mere show . . . Washington supplies Israel with arms on condition that they shall be used only for defense, and goes on doing so despite breaches of the condition.

London Sunday Times, June 13, 1982

When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves.

*Alexander Haig
speaking at a United Jewish Appeal
dinner in New York*

HOLOCAUST REVISIONISM FOR BEGINNERS

What exactly is meant by "Holocaust Revisionism"?

The word "revisionism" has been used throughout this century, at least, to describe those who have dissented from orthodox dogma. There have been Communist Revisionists who were condemned by Stalin. There have been Zionist Revisionists led by Jabotinsky. Now, in the 1980s we have Holocaust Revisionists: those who disagree with the widely accepted belief that six million, or some other number, of Jews were exterminated in gas chambers by the Nazis as part of an extermination program.

In what respects do the Revisionists disagree with this theory?

Fundamentally, in three respects. First, the Revisionists argue that six million Jews did not die in the war; the true number must be less than one million. Second, the Revisionists argue that there were no gas chambers, so the number of Jews exterminated in gas chambers was precisely zero. Third, the Revisionists maintain that there is no solid evidence that the Nazis ever had an extermination program *per se*.

Who are the Revisionists?

The leading Revisionist academics right now are Dr. Arthur R. Butz, associate professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at Northwestern University in Chicago; and Dr. Robert Faurisson, former professor of Literature at the University of Lyon-2 in France.

How come neither of these are historians? What right do they have to pontificate on areas outside their field?

The sorry truth is that these academics have had to venture into the historical arena because of the default of the regular historians. When one brave German historian, Helmut Diwald, challenged the Holocaust orthodoxy in a book published in West Germany, the publishers withdrew the book from circulation and had the offending pages altered. When British historian David Irving expressed some skepticism of the slightest kind in one of his books, again the publishers tried to alter his findings. There have been calls for the dismissal and/or censure of academics in the United States for daring to associate themselves with the Institute for Historical Review, an organization which leads the field in Holocaust Revisionism. Clearly, the market in ideas in this area is not a free one. Whatever happened to the much-lauded right to dissent?

Are the opposing academics all historians then?

Although almost every modern historian pays lip service to the Holocaust dogma, not one of the leading Holocaust authors is a historian. Raul Hilberg teaches political science. Gerald Reitlinger was an art dealer. Lucy Dawidowicz teaches "Holocaust Studies" at a private Jewish medical school. These opponents of Revisionism are termed Exterminationists, because they believe in the theory of extermination. Very few history

texts deal at any great length with the Holocaust precisely because there is so little evidence to go on. Historians are accustomed to having reams of first-hand documents on which to base their findings. In the case of the Holocaust, there is very little, and so the timid historians usually leave out any mention of it altogether. That way they can play it safe.

Let's get down to basics and deal with some basic, practical facts and figures. Do the Revisionists deny that Jews were persecuted and herded into Nazi concentration camps?

No. Nazi Germany was an anti-Semitic regime. Jews were discriminated against and were rounded up and interned in concentration camps.

Do the Revisionists support this policy? Are they Nazis?

It is not the business of Revisionists to pass moralizing judgments on historical events. Neither do Revisionists pass judgment on Roosevelt's rounding up of American citizens who happened to be of Japanese extraction, and herding them into concentration camps on the West Coast. Revisionists come in all political shades.

What about all the photographs from the camps, of piles of naked bodies being bulldozed into pits? Of human skeletons wandering aimlessly around?

No one denies that the camps were horrible places -- both for the inmates and for the staff. Toward the end of the war, conditions in the camps deteriorated very rapidly due to Allied bombing of the rail and road distribution networks. Germany was deliberately starved into submission by Allied bombing and blockades. Many thousands of Germans also starved to



The tailor shop at Sachsenhausen

death, or succumbed to disease. The horrible pictures one sees are not of victims of gassing; they are of victims of hunger and typhus. According to the legend, the victims were driven into the gas chambers straight off the trains, so therefore the bodies would have been in fairly good shape. The pictures are primarily from camps where even many Exterminationists admit there were no gas chamber installations.

But surely we are told that all the camps had gas chambers? Weren't they called "extermination camps"?

This is a widely held popular view, but even a superficial study of the Exterminationist literature reveals that nowadays they divide the camps into two types: concentration camps (in the west), and extermination camps (in the east). By a curious coincidence, all the extermination camps are located in what is now Communist-governed territory. Over the years since the end of the war, the Exterminationists themselves have gradually had to revise their dogma, on account of ready public access to the Western camps. For example, in 1945 American Congressmen were taken on a tour of the Dachau "gas chamber" and were told that many thousands of Jews had died there. Now the Exterminationists agree that no Jews were gassed at Dachau. Similarly, several Germans were executed after the war for operating "gas chambers" at several camps in West Germany. Today, the Holocaust experts admit that there were no gas chambers at those camps. The Revisionists now ask: what is the difference in quality between the evidence for gassings at these Western camps (now admitted to be bogus) and the evidence for gassings at the Eastern camps (still maintained as genuine)? Why is Western evidence dismissed as bogus while Communist evidence is retained as genuine?

But what about Zyklon B? Wasn't it found in the camps?

Zyklon B was most certainly found in the camps. Zyklon B was a very common pesticide used to delouse the lice-ridden clothes of incoming prisoners. Ironically, it was only when this fumigation process broke down that the lice were able to spread typhus throughout certain camps. If more Zyklon B had been used, more Jewish lives would have been saved.

What about the gas chambers? Surely one can see them displayed at the camps?

Various installations have been misrepresented as having been "gas chambers." At Dachau, for example, at least two places were represented as being deadly gas chambers. The first was an ordinary communal shower-bath. The second was a fumigation closet for the delousing of clothes. Today at Dachau a sign on the shower-bath claims that it is a "gas chamber which was never used." The fumigation closets are correctly labeled as such, even though a U.S. Army report by the OSS in 1945 claimed that these were mini-gas chambers. At Auschwitz there is now a room on display which was first used as a basement mortuary for the deceased from the hospital next door. Later in the war it was used as an air-raid shelter. Today the Communists claim it was a gas chamber. Any superficial study of the Dachau and Auschwitz "gas chambers" would show that they could not have been used for extermination because they are not air-tight. The room at Auschwitz has even had rough holes knocked in the ceiling to "prove" that this was where the gas pellets were dropped.

What about the ovens? Surely they prove that the Nazis ex-

terminated people.

The perfectly ordinary crematorium facilities at the camps were just the same as those at any German city mortuary. People died in the camps from natural causes, disease, judicial executions and (later) hunger. Cremation is the most hygienic method of corpse disposal. It is used throughout the Western world today.

Didn't the Nuremberg trials prove that the Holocaust happened?

The Nuremberg trials were a show of strength of victor over vanquished. The Germans were put on trial for doing the same things which the Allies had been doing, and continued to do in occupied Germany. The Germans were even charged with committing the Katyn massacre of Polish officers while the Soviets, who had really committed this crime, were sitting in judgment. Hardly any of the "evidence" admitted at Nuremberg would stand up in court today.

Such as?

Such as documents with no proof of source. Such as affidavits from dead people like Gerstein. Such as grossly leading questions to witnesses like Rudolf Höss. Such as "lampshades" and "soap" exhibited by the Soviets.

How else were the trials biased?

The defendants were not allowed to cross-examine witnesses (only their court-appointed lawyers could do this). The defense was not allowed proper access to documentary exhibits. The defense counsels were not allowed to exhibit Allied documents as proof of identical Allied "crimes." Potential defense witnesses were arrested. Potential defense documents were kept from defense lawyers. Throughout, the defense lawyers were treated as naughty children. The defendants' guilt was already determined in advance.

Didn't the Nazis themselves confess to their crimes?

None of the Nuremberg defendants knew anything at all about "gassings" or extermination. The only top Nazi leader who would have known the exact details of what went on in the camps was Himmler, who conveniently "committed suicide" while in British custody, with his body being secretly buried in a wood. Albert Speer most likely knew that the allegations were bogus because he was in charge of War Production, and many factories used camp labor. But Speer felt that to challenge this cornerstone of the Allies' theory would have been to risk greater punishment for "lack of contrition." His humble approach paid off in the form of a prison sentence instead of the gallows.

But surely some Nazis confessed?

Yes, they did. The principal confession used in Holocaust literature is that of Rudolf Höss, a commandant of Auschwitz. He appeared as a witness at the Nuremberg trials, and his "evidence" consisted of assenting to an English-language affidavit he had signed earlier. There is no evidence that Höss understood English. His affidavit in many respects contradicts his later testimony and written confessions conducted by the Communist Poles. Much of his testimony also flies in the face of science, and in the face of the reality of the Auschwitz "gas chamber" on display today. There is evidence that Höss was tortured; even Exterminationists describe his psychological status as "schizoid apathy."

Isn't there proof of an extermination program in Hitler's *Mein Kampf*?

Mein Kampf is certainly anti-Semitic. But nowhere does Hitler advocate the killing of Jews. Instead, he recommends their removal from Western Europe and resettlement in Palestine or wherever. This policy was curiously in harmony with that of the Zionists.

Surely some written order has been found proving Hitler authorized the extermination program?

No such order has been found.

What about all the eyewitnesses? What about all the survivors?

This is probably the most difficult area of all to explain in the short space available. It will have to suffice to say that very little of the eyewitnesses' testimony would stand up under cross-examination. Many survivors appear to be suffering from a kind of "group fantasy," where they imagine themselves witnesses to all kinds of horrific deeds. Many "survivors" at war crimes trials have wanted a place in the history books for themselves, and society holds back from questioning their testimony too closely for fear of offending their sensitivities. Several Exterminationists, such as Gerald Reitlinger, Hannah Arendt and Gitta Sereny, have admitted that much "survivor testimony" has been bogus. Even in the United States, witnesses from Israel and elsewhere came forward to testify against Frank Walus, whom they claimed was a camp guard. After losing his case, Walus's attorneys discovered new documentation which incontrovertibly proved his innocence. Therefore the witnesses knowingly or unknowingly committed perjury. Many of them were flown in from Israel and seemed to have been rehearsed. Several of the published memoirs of survivors contain scenes which are pharmacologically impossible, particularly in connection with corpse disposal.

In the National Archives, aren't there plans for gas chambers and orders for exterminations?

There are plans, invoices and orders for: Zyklon B, crematoria, deportations, judicial executions and anti-Semitic laws. One can even find documents showing the cost of the dog food for Auschwitz. But no one has ever turned up any documentation for gas chambers or programmed extermination. The blueprints for Auschwitz show the "gas chamber" as a mortuary.

The Exterminationists like to pretend that the Germans used code words for their operations, like "special treatment" for exterminations. But even at the Nuremberg trials it was shown that "special treatment" could mean special privileges like extra food rations for VIP prisoners.

What then was the true nature of the camps?

They performed a dual function. They were simultaneously internment camps for Jews and others who were considered a threat to national security, and also labor centers where factories or agricultural projects could be established to take advantage of the ready labor supply. Auschwitz was the biggest, with a galaxy of industrial factories employing both interned labor and voluntary workers from all across Europe. The volunteers outnumbered the internees. The factories included a hydrogenation plant for turning coal into synthetic oil, and a Buna rubber works for making artificial rubber. There were coal mines and botany stations. Most of the other camps in Poland had ancillary agricultural and lumber projects. Obviously, with all able-bodied Germans away fighting the war, the authorities needed to use every single available body for labor. It simply would not have made sense to exterminate able-bodied labor.

Where can I find out more about the Revisionist books?

By writing to: Institute for Historical Review, P.O. Box 1306, Torrance, CA 90505 or Revisionists' Reprints, P.O. Box 3849, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266.

HEY THERE, DR. KING! THERE'S SOMETHING 180 MILLION WHITES HAVE BEEN MEANING TO TELL YOU . . .

Dr. Charles H. King Jr. has been peddling his race relations seminars around America since 1970. He charges \$181 a head to tell a roomful of middle-class whites that they are the sole cause of all black problems. He tells them this for two or three days running. "You don't break a man's legs and criticize him for walking like a cripple," is one of two dozen or so favorite lines he throws at every audience.

Supposedly, the only rules of these encounters are that the whites are to be "completely honest" about their "deepest feelings," although -- and here's the catch -- they are never permitted to talk about their own personal experiences with blacks.

King, an ordained Baptist preacher, is the uncouthest of the uncouth -- the antipodes of slick black operators like Maynard Jackson and Tom Bradley. He has much more in common with the millions of unemployed and unemployable denizens of



Dr. Charles King

U.S. ghettos. Though he has made a living out of verbal confrontations with guilt-fixated whites for a dozen years, King still speaks with an inborn hostility to grammar and syntax, and can be exceptionally slow on the mental uptake. Accordingly, his more thoughtful white "students" are careful to phrase their remarks in simple sentences. They can often be seen -- and heard -- struggling with "simultaneous translations" into the King lingo, which can best be described as dumb-speak.

Ideas often register on King's face so slowly that the process unfolds like time-lapse photos of budding flowers. The man's IQ cannot be much over 90. His crudely formed vocal chords emit crude sounds. His primitive hands make primitive gestures. The poorly articulated structure of his cortex yields inchoate thoughts. His poorly differentiated facial musculature produces the most unsubtle expressions. We have seen 10-year-old whites who could think circles around him -- and sleepwalkers with a lot more grace. In short, Charles King, "one of God's creatures," is hardly more than a BLOB, a hominid which the Caucasoid and Mongoloid races left behind eons ago.

Any halfway intelligent, halfway sensitive white would have to agree with this belabored description of King within minutes of entering one of his tasteless, Upper Voltan bullying sessions. But not one member of his white audience in 12 years has apparently had the guts to tell him off. Thousands of whites have been enjoined to honesty, yet not one has stood up and dared to be honest, even when King has out-Kinged himself in outpourings of antiwhite racist vitriol. Black people are the greatest, he blusters, because they don't discriminate. Whites are the pits, he whines, because they do. The misery of the Third World is mostly the white man's doing. White society is sick. Blacks are beautiful. On and on and on.

Few whites really care to hear such drivel, but then few ever pay to hear it (directly). Most are informed by their boss or an equal opportunity officer that their company will pay the attendance fee for a special "human betterment" seminar. The bosses, of course, have previously had their arms twisted. It all sounds like a lark -- until the first session.

King lets his captive audience know exactly how black people feel toward whites (not quite murderous, but getting there fast). He gets away with it because the expression of the blacks'

innermost racist feelings is not taboo in the present-day U.S. But his "students" never give King a hint as to how they really regard him, the expression of white racial instincts being under the strictest taboo. Instead, they try to fight back by describing how the picture he paints of American society jars with their own interpretation. Someone will say, for example:

I have had my last three neighborhoods completely wrecked when blacks moved in. The crime level skyrocketed. It was noisy all the time. I had to sell at a tremendous loss. And you're telling me I should be happy that it's happening a fourth time?

In almost every mock "encounter," a few whites will try to say such things. King always has the same response:

Remember our agreement? We're not allowed to discuss the race problem in terms of personal experience. Sure, all of that may have happened to *you*. Anything might have happened to *you*. But who the hell are *you*? You shouldn't let your life obscure the bigger picture -- which is that blacks are still kept out of the mainstream, their unemployment is still twice as high . . .

Of course, King doesn't say this in anything like normal speech. Nuance to him is a congenital no-no. The man barks or grunts his words. Occasionally, a rare white, unable to bear the barbaric performance any longer, jumps to his feet and practically runs from the room. King complacently informs the rest of the audience that all of his blunt, no-holds-barred truthsaying has finally gotten to the poor devil. Everyone murmurs uncertainly -- something King's audiences seem to do a lot of.

The whole Stone Age show is a giant fraud from start to finish. But it has served this unwitting function. It has revealed to many whites the cardinal truth of American race relations in the 1980s: that all of their best "holds" are indeed barred; that only a white's outermost feelings on race may be voiced aloud. Few of King's pupils have dared to communicate their discovery, even to their closest friends or relations. But at least King's apelike antics have persuaded large numbers of whites to think seriously about what is likely to happen to them when King-type "seminars" will be required courses in every college and high school.

IS THERE A FLORIDA IN YOUR FUTURE?

Castro, in a way, is using people like bullets aimed at this country.

— former White House aide Jack Watson

Florida Governor Bob Graham says that half of Miami's violent crime is committed by approximately 100,000 "Marielito" refugees. They already make up nearly one-third of the city's jail population. Policemen in Miami, New York and other large cities agree that the brutality of Marielito crime is beyond anything seen previously. Witnesses to their robberies are routinely shot. The killers tend to be either husky Negroes or slight young men of mixed race, "gaunt and hollow-eyed." Last autumn Dade County Medical Examiner Joe Davis denounced the killer-refugees on a local TV show: "Those guys are not even human. They're animals. Not even animals. That's an

insult to the animal kingdom." A refrigerated hamburger van was rented by the morgue to handle the overflow.

"This is Dodge City, South," says Jim Dingfelder, a local customs official. Other large American cities are routinely called the same thing, and the historically-minded residents of Dodge City, Kansas, do not like it a bit. One recently wrote to a large metropolitan daily, pointing out that the murder rate around Dodge City was rather low, even during the wildest frontier days.

The Marielitos are not the only Hispanic immigrants who are transforming South Florida. At the other end of the economic spectrum, Latino drug lords have remade Miami as the "Wall Street of dope." At least 20 major smuggling syndicates are operating in the area. The 10 to 15 billion dollars that they "mainline" into the local economy each year rivals the state-

wide tourist industry in size. The big problem with drug money is "laundering" it, but local bankers have tended to be very obliging. "Most of Miami's banks have drug money in their arteries," says an Argentine economist on the scene. "The plastic bags and suitcases full of money from the narcotics business are their lifeblood. The banks not only manage this dirty money . . . they treat really big pushers as preferred customers." Jeweler Richard Getz reports that if "someone lays out \$100,000 from a paper bag, it's no big deal You get desensitized."

José Antonio Cabrera-Sarmiento is a typical Hispanic cocaine dealer. He swaggers as he walks, gold chains dancing around his hirsute neck. His nickname "Pepe" flashes in diamonds set in a solid gold bracelet. The merchants exult whenever they see him coming. He pays the price tag in cash for everything. \$139,000 from one dirty shopping bag bought him a blue Rolls Royce. Half a million dollars from another bag paid for a 60-foot Hatteras yacht. All of this cash is superheating the Miami economy and making it impossible for working people to keep up. The price of a new home in Dade County rose \$5,000 last year solely because the drug-dealing combines were paying tens of millions in hot dollars for entire housing tracts.

The Reagan administration has placed south Florida's law enforcement agencies on something close to a "war-footing." The Miami FBI office is getting 81 new agents, 36 of whom are being brought in anonymously to work undercover. The city's Drug Enforcement Administration office is getting 79 new agents, 19 of whom will be "deep-cover" investigators. (But won't "deep-deep-cover" men be needed to keep them honest?) The Internal Revenue Service is setting up a Financial Law Enforcement Center in Miami. The Secret Service will get 24 new agents in Miami, perhaps half of them undercover. The local Coast Guard unit is being reinforced. Even regular military units are being beefed up.

All of the money going into crime control and refugee welfare payments is coming out of other places. At a recent meeting of Miami's Metropolitan Commission, elderly and disabled residents pleaded against additional cuts in public services. They were shouted down by angry taxpayers who have taken to shouting at everyone. On another occasion, when a woman addressed the Commission in Spanish, a part of the angry crowd began crying, "Speak English!" and singing "God Bless America." But God helps those who help themselves, and Miami's Dispossessed ex-Majority has done far too little far too late. In last year's mayoral race, when the Puerto Rican incumbent Maurice Ferre was challenged by the Cuban Manolo Reboso, both men made big appeals for the black and Hispanic bloc votes, but hardly seemed to care what the "Anglos" did. Not too surprisingly, the low white turnout split its vote almost evenly.

Thousands of lifelong Miami residents are now fleeing to central Florida and beyond. According to Grace Rockefeller, a white-haired civic leader, "Anglos in Miami have come to feel like they're living in Russia or Iran. We hide behind double-bolted doors with a gun. And Mayor Ferre's attitude is, 'Anyone who doesn't want to speak Spanish can move.'" For comments like this, Rockefeller has had a tear gas bomb come crashing through her window. "It's war," says Bernard Elser, the emergency room chief at Jackson Memorial Hospital. "What good does it do to send your kid to a good school," asks State Rep. Tom Gallagher, "if he gets killed on the way or is not safe in

your own house?"

Black columnist Carl Rowan writes that the Florida drug trade has damaged the area as "no Soviet missile ever could." Armed policewomen and female FBI agents have to be escorted from their offices to their cars. "For sale" signs dot white-owned lawns throughout the city.

One group which isn't moving is Miami's 125,000-member black community. Most Negroes still live in Liberty City, which is worse off today than before the \$100-million riot of 1980. Unemployment among the ghetto's adult black males is about 60%. There are \$8 million worth of new palm trees, park benches and other window dressing, but federal aid is falling off.

Welfare mama Brenda Quinn says that if "they" (the white genies? the fairy godmothers?) cut her food stamps and leave her six children hungry, she will march them into the supermarket and "feed 'em right off the shelves The worst they can do is arrest me for shoplifting."

A black police officer recalls how "when we were growing up, it was simple to get a neighborhood job. Now it's impossible." Especially since "Hispanic businessmen tend to hire their own," and the nice-guy Anglos have decamped. Tales of the riots are told endlessly. Those who torched buildings, chased cops and emptied liquor stores are treated like war heroes -- even though their actions are an important cause of the present misery. Grenades and M16 rifles are being stockpiled for the next go-round. A local white (?) pharmacist named Jerry Stone (Stein?) is the most cynical resident of all: "I'd burn the place down this time" he says, apparently convinced that parasites are endowed with an inalienable right to their parasitism, forever and ever.

Patrick Buchanan is one nationally syndicated writer who isn't buying that argument. The 353-page report of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights on the Miami riots enrages him because everyone is blamed therein but the rioters themselves:

The mob that dragged those two kids out of their car and stomped them to death, the dude who cut out that guy's tongue, the maniac who drove the automobile back and forth over some poor devil while his cohorts laughed and cheered, well, they aren't to blame. The real culprits are the Miami Chamber of Commerce and the snobs who make up the Social Register.

To Buchanan, this is nothing but "the eternal rewrite of the Kerner Commission Report, blaming all black depredations, past, present and future, on 'white racism.'" How would he deal with rioters? Since federal programs will only increase the dead wood available for future conflagrations, he would simply "place a short phone call to the Hon. Frank Rizzo, former mayor, City of Brotherly Love."

If the petty parasites of Liberty City are *biologically corrupt*, so too are Miami's grand parasites. The Miami bureau chief of a national news service says, "In Miami, the legal profession's disregard of the law is total. It cuts across from the smallest offense to the most serious felonies." Attorney Mark J. Friedman made the news recently by ignoring his 161 unpaid traffic tickets -- quite a feat for a man without a valid driver's license. This "scofflaw" attitude is directly related to the gang violence and hoodlumism which prevail in present-day Dade County. Kickbacks and fearful silence on every level are what make it all possible. A vast collusion has sprung to life among "lawmen" with regard to one another's ethical lapses.

The bloody handwriting is on the wall: Miami is lost.

THE 1982 CAMPAIGN, UGH!

Elections, no matter what their results, cannot gladden the heavy hearts of Instaurationists any more than a physical checkup, no matter what its results, can make merry a man in his last stretch of terminal cancer. We can grasp at straws by cheering the victory of the less repugnant candidate and the defeat of the more repugnant. We can dig and delve and maybe discover some electoral upset in some obscure corner of the country and wishfully interpret it as the beginning of a Majority comeback. But, all in all, the 1982 election campaign was just one more replay of an aging and corrupt political farce which only the media and the media-ized can take seriously, a biennial billion-dollar passion play in honor of the great God Demos, in which the actors themselves are the most ardent disbelievers.

Sure, the Democrats won handsomely in the House and gubernatorial contests. Minority balloters are ballooning, and whites still split votes that the blacks know enough not to split. Blacks may have a lower I.Q. in general, but they have a higher political I.Q. That's why the number of Negroes in the House climbed from 17 to 20.

Sure, the Republicans kept their slim hold on the Senate, but what does that portend when a man like the reelected Lowell Weicker is listed as a Republican? *Instauration* would liefer have voted for General Jaruzelski if *Instauration* was published in Connecticut and the Red military marionette was on the state ticket. Jaruzelski only sells out his country. Weicker sells out his race.

Sure, Jerry Brown, the flaky Lochinvar of the counterculture, was beaten. But who beat him? Pete Wilson, one of the wimpiest of wimps, who has watched his section of the country turn browner and browner with the passing years and has not lifted a finger to stop it. Pete Wilson, who is all for human rights, but never uttered one word about Shatila!

Sure, dark ducky Robert Clark did not become the first black congressman from Mississippi since the days of the First Reconstruction. Nor did light darky Uncle Tom Bradley become the first elected black governor of California or any other state (old half-white Massa Pinckney Benton Stewart Pinchback was appointed acting governor of Louisiana for one month in 1872). Who won in California? An Armenian with the charisma of a wet dishrag. All the Deukmejian victory signifies is a slight setback for California Jews who went all out for Bradley, the totally undignified mayor of Los Angeles who could not even govern his own family. One daughter has been arrested and rearrested and even jailed for a broad spectrum of crimes, including shoplifting, driving under the influence, possession of drugs, and attacking a policeman (her father is an ex-cop). Parenthetically, a California Instaurationist has told us the Republicans had originally planned to run Wilson for governor, a race in which he would have been a shoo-in. But when Representative McCloskey, a leading Republican candidate for the Senate, came out against Israel, all bets were off. Only Wilson could have beaten McCloskey in the primary, so he was quickly shunted from the gubernatorial to the senatorial race.

McCloskey was then effectively liquidated.

Sure, one power-famished Jewish Midas (Frank Lautenberg, net worth \$100 million plus) bought his way into the Senate by defeating a Republican facsimile of Eleanor Roosevelt (Millicent Fenwick). The new senator from New Jersey is a true-believing Zionist, which means he is a political schizo. Sure, one WASPish Jewish Midas (Lewis Lehrman of the Rite-Aid drugstore chain) and a "mentor" of David Stockman, just failed to buy the New York governorship, losing to a Jewish-looking Italian (Mario Cuomo), a legal permissivist whose daughter has been twice mugged. Hatchet-faced Lehrman is married to the daughter of a non-Jewish *Time* executive, but their five kids go to synagogue. What profits us from all this?

Sure, Reaganomics will limp along for two more years, with inflation supposed to "stay down," if you call 6 or 7% down, and unemployment "staying up." Interest rates, still double digit, are said to be "down," though in the old days there were laws against usury. As for the referenda, the voters seemed to want to keep their guns, but give up their nukes.

The future? Senator Fat Face, who was reelected in a walk, is certainly in our future. Although Kennedy helped wreck the Boston school system, although he forced his shanty Irish poor relations to mix it up with blacks in public schools and although he consorts socially and ideologically with the Harvard anti-Irish professoriat, his Irish minions still vote as solidly for him as Alabama blacks voted for the fossilized, deracinated Wallace, whose second wife voted against him, saying that he was on drugs and was in much worse physical shape than anyone imagined.

Yes, there is much that is genetic about American voting habits, except in WASP country. Wouldn't it be wondrous if some day Majority members should pick up Jewish, black and Hispanic voting habits? Wouldn't it be fun to hear the denunciations pouring out of the mouth of Injun Dan, as he explains how minority racist voters are bloc voters and Majority racist voters are racist voters? Unfortunately, by the time the Majority starts voting as a bloc, it may no longer be a majority.

Yes, 1982 was more of the same. And 1984, the fateful year of George Orwell, will be more of the same. The only trend noted in this election was that which has been noted in all previous elections (gubernatorial, congressional and presidential) for the last 50 years. As the quantity of the candidates and voters burgeons, the quality of the candidates and voters wilts.

Ponderable Quote

I am afraid I am not even supported by a glimmer of patriotism in this remaining war [World War II]. I would not subscribe a penny to it, let alone a son, if I were a free man. It can only benefit America or Russia, probably the latter.

J.R.R. Tolkien

The Most Important Whole

Though we Majority activists repeat and repeat that we are not advocates of exploitation, genocide, totalitarianism or even bigotry, we meet with blind resistance when we talk about racial differences. We have to deal with dogmatic assertions that the right to propagate, regardless of the evolutionary consequences, is universal and unlimited. But repeated conversations with brick walls do not demoralize us so much that we stop trying.

What can be devastating is to confront a cynic who wonders why we care at all. Very often, of course, the cynic (in spite of himself) is an enthusiastic advocate of some cause beyond his narrow ego, and it is not too difficult to show him up by uncovering some idealistic impulse of his own. Yet his charges are separate from the man -- who may or may not be a hypocrite -- and the charges stick.

We are troubled by the cynic's philosophy because, deep inside us, we almost agree that it is naive, if not actually immoral, not to be cynical. The pursuit of self-interest is one of the two reigning moralisms today (the other being equality), and it is hard for us to jettison our upbringing. So we are apt to accept the cynic's philosophy implicitly and justify our concern about our race as a form of disguised selfishness. Thus, cynically my Majority-ism can be seen as an effort to dissolve my own personal shortcomings into a mass movement (Eric Hoffer's true

believer), to be a big fish in a small racist pond, to cover up an inferiority complex by dominating lesser races, to satisfy my intellectual curiosity about the importance of race in human history, or just about anything that is not true and genuine idealism.

None of us is so lacking in introspection that we can't see partial truths in some of these charges. Certainly we all know racists for whom these charges possess a great deal of truth. But to reduce everything to ultimate selfishness is not only to rob the language of a word that used to distinguish selfish from non-selfish. It also plays a cheap semantic trick, for the word *self* can be construed very narrowly as my personal pleasures, my status, my stock market shares, my suburban house.

Or *self* can be enlarged to encompass my whole being. My being includes my narrow self and its personal pleasures, but it also includes that of which I am a small part: my nation, race, religion, profession, political party, even my home football team. And, of course, my family. It is programmed into the genes for people to form identity groups, and he who denies this, in the name of a cynic's philosophy, cuts out a large part of himself.

Professing an ideology of cynicism can have psychological penalties as severe as those of the unworldly asceticism of early Christianity. The perfect ascetic would not stay alive; no more would the perfect practi-

tioner of selfishness. The reason is that any ideology, when pushed to its own verbal limits, starts leading a life of its own and detaches itself from reality. Thus, the "ideal" selfish person would abandon efforts to improve his condition in the world, for the world is not in his self. Why climb up a totem pole that is not *his* totem pole?

We believers in race have the inestimable advantage of having a monopoly on reality. Others have monopolies on their multitudinous systems of words. It is futile to pick apart Ayn Rand, Robert LeFevre, Karl Marx, the Webbs, Spengler, Stalin, Jesus, Aquinas, Freud, Hitler and William F. Buckley one by one. It is enough to show that we stand on the strength of reality, identity and evolution, whereas the others stand upon words.

To answer the question, then: I believe in race because my race is a part of me. It would be psychologically crippling for me to profess an ideology that restricts me to a narrowly conceived self. To be whole, we must act in accordance with our whole being. And we must not merely imagine but learn what that whole being is. True, we all participate in many wholes -- are a part of many identity groups -- but the most important whole, at least for us at this precarious stage of our history, is race.

ROBERT THROCKMORTON

Funding the Enemy

The Women's Educational Equity Act Program (WEEAP) is not a large one by federal standards, with its annual budget of \$6 million. But in the hands of a radical feminist director like Ms. Leslie Wolfe, it plays an important part in strengthening America's left-wing power network. In 1981, Wolfe and her associates actually *doubled* funding for the National Organization for Women (NOW) Legal Defense and Education Fund, an anti-Reagan advocacy group. This funding included \$170,178 to train selected "community leaders" in "using the press to . . . develop strategies to cope with racism and sexism. . . ." Meanwhile the Bay Area Bilingual Education League got \$87,067 from WEEAP to train Hispanic women in "citizen advocacy and community organizing"; the Council on Interracial Books for Children received \$141,087 to publish a "feminist basal reader" for third-graders; Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania got \$25,000 for a project

to eliminate (white) racism and (male) sexism in academic counseling; the leftist National Student Education Fund was given \$117,411 to hold its annual convention.

When Congress passed the Women's Educational Equity Act in 1974, it did not reckon on a Leslie Wolfe turning WEEAP into a "money machine" for the leftist network. But now Wolfe holds a career position and cannot be fired without great difficulty. As an entrenched *middle-level* bureaucrat, she can maintain a low profile and yet be extremely effective. That is to say, "effective" in attaining *political* goals. Since WEEAP provides no official forms for the evaluation of its projects, officers charged with monitoring WEEAP-funded activities receive almost nothing in writing to guide them in their "oversight" duties. In the words of *Conservative Digest*, Leslie Wolfe is a "monarch in the feudal Washington bureaucracy, imperiously guarding her fiefdom." Higher-ups, including Secretary of

Education Terrel Bell, usually rubber-stamp her edicts.

WEEAP is but one of hundreds of left-tilting agencies and recipient organizations which were closely scrutinized in April's special "pork barrel" edition of Richard Viguerie's *Conservative Digest* (\$1.50 for the issue; order from 631 Independence Avenue, Marion, OH 43305). Anyone who wants to understand the forces with which the Reaganites -- and any future antiliberals -- must do battle, cannot afford to be without this issue.

A painfully selective review of the contents:

- Page 3. A listing of 30 Reagan grants to openly anti-Reagan groups. On May 31, 1981, for example, the League of United Latin-American Citizens (LULAC) got \$1,237,600. On September 30, 1981, Jesse Jackson's infamous PUSH-EXCEL scam received a check for \$656,664.
- Pages 4-9. A listing of 175 left-leaning

organizations which received federal funds at least once in the past five years. Among them are Washington's National Council of La Raza, Atlanta's Martin Luther King Center for Social Change, South Dakota's radical Black Hills Alliance, the Laurel Springs Institute, Tom Hayden and Jane Fonda's radical Campaign for Economic Democracy, and 170 other homosexual, radical feminist, minority and ultraliberal groups. The National Council of Negro Women has received \$2,231,106 in taxpayers' money since 1978. But the "Leaping Lesbian Follies" (for nude women *only*) got just \$41,000. All kinds of "gay" groups received funds for helping homosexuals cope with "coming out of the closet," even though sexual inverts now seem far less reticent and fearful than America's mentally-bound-and-gagged Majority members. The black racist National Urban League received about \$110 million in federal aid during 1980 alone. Over the past five years, the League and its affiliates were given at least 99 different federal grants for "talent searches." (Did they find any?)

- Page 10. A listing of recent federal payments (in the millions) to unions which used

part of the loot to demonstrate against Reagan.

- Pages 20-23. How "legal services" funding provides a support network for leftist attorneys. For example, Neighborhood Legal Services of Pittsburgh, which received some \$1.5 million in tax dollars in 1980, is a key member of the Fair Budget Coalition, which has called for Reagan's impeachment! Southern Arizona Legal Aid (\$851,305 in 1980) filed suit recently to force a border county to pay for free health care for illegal aliens. (The county's health system, overburdened by the alien influx, went broke last year and is expected to do so again this year.) There are 325 *private* "legal services" groups in the U.S., all of them federally funded but (basically) accountable only to themselves. They and their magazine, *Clearinghouse Review*, actively pursue test cases designed to push American law leftward.

- Page 24. How CETA (the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act) has spent more than \$50 billion on jobs training since 1973, with scant success. A 1979 survey found that more than 60% of CETA trainees were unemployed again 90 days

later. Some of the trainees have been paid to: play checkers, attend dance classes, go door-to-door trying to get people to register for food stamps, stage a homosexual youth play called "Lavender Horizons," organize a tenant strike against landlords, etc.

- Pages 31-35. Reagan's bright young appointees to the directorships of ACTION and VISTA recount the political corruption they have fought.

- Page 55. Publisher Richard Viguerie appeals to all American conservatives to make use of "the issue of de-funding the Left" their number one shared goal, something that all can agree on: "It was shocking when [this abuse] happened under earlier administrations. It is tragic when it continues under Reagan." The president is "still giving hundreds of millions of dollars a year" to groups bent on defeating him and his allies in 1982 and 1984; and the nature of this beast makes it almost unstoppable. Indeed, were it not for the Freedom of Information Act and "a very few persistent individuals," America would be in much greater darkness today than it is.



Nazi Leniency?

For a regime allegedly pursuing a bloody, fanatical *Endlösung* to the Jewish problem, the Third Reich, or at least some of its legal beagles, sometimes manifested an incredible permissiveness:

CASE #1: An 18-year-old Jew named Sibill Minco belonged to the first World War II resistance group formed in Holland. Its members carried out all the usual terrorist activities: telephone and telegraph wire-cutting, bridge demolition, bushwacking of Germans, *und so weiter*. When finally apprehended, they were condemned to death by a German military court. The sentence was in perfect conformity with the Hague Convention. The Resistance fighters were well aware that they were acting outside the law, as proved by the fact that they called themselves "illegals." But the lives of three of the group, including Minco, were spared by the German judges because of their youth. They survived the war in German concentration camps.

CASE #2: In October 1938 the Polish government decreed that all Polish passports would be invalid without a special stamp which could only be obtained in Poland, not at Polish consulates abroad. The Reich government, recognizing this as a heaven-sent opportunity to get rid of its 17,000 Polish Jews, rounded them up and transported them to the Polish border. Only those who had jobs and permanent homes in Germany were allowed to return.

Among those dragooned eastward was a Polish-Jewish tailor from Hanover named Grynszpan. He did not want to reemigrate

to Poland; his promised land was America. His son, Herschel, was in Paris at that moment exploring just such a possibility.

The 17-year-old Herschel wandered uncomfortably from one emigrant group to another before attaching himself to a ragtag gang composed of ragtag Jewish refugees who swore by a hybrid version of Zionism and Trotskyism and were preparing to take violent action against the Germans.

Herschel had never been a Zionist in Germany and had never had anything to do with the extreme left. After all, he was only 17. His new Jewish comrades now providing him shelter, security and seemingly sincere friendship decided that he was not the type who would make a good guerrilla. They chose a better career for him -- martyrdom!

The opportunity arrived when the Paris press was howling about the ongoing "drama" on the Polish border. It was reported that there had been many dead and wounded Polish Jews. The gang leader was very sorry to break the news to Herschel that his father had been among those killed. A fellow member, a Dutch Jew named de Haas, who called himself Haas in Paris, slipped Herschel the pistol with which he shot the first person he found at the German Embassy -- Third Secretary vom Rath.

The well-known aftermath was *Kristallnacht*, the longest nail in the coffin of a possible peaceful solution to the Jewish problem in Germany. The assassination of vom Rath was "exactly what the Nazis had been waiting for," the foreign press was

quick to explain. The truth was otherwise. If Nazis had felt they needed such an incident, they could have easily staged one themselves. In point of fact, *Kristallnacht* was exactly what the Zionists had been waiting for.

Although the French government had refused to send Herschel Grynszpan back to Germany for trial, he fell into the clutches of the Gestapo during the Occupation. A German court had already condemned him to death *absente reo*, but after he had described the brainwashing leading up to the crime and after his anti-Nazi ardor had cooled somewhat when he learned that his father was still alive, his sentence was commuted to five years in a concentration camp. With the Red Army getting uncomfortably close in 1944, Herschel and other inmates were moved west, where they were liberated by the Americans. Herschel tarried a while in Germany, then went to South America and, presumably, lived happily ever after.

Herschel Grynszpan was not only a Jew, but a Jew who had deliberately murdered a German diplomat. He was sentenced to death and was in the power of the Gestapo for nearly five years, *yet he survived!*

The leniency the Nazis extended to Herschel doesn't jibe too well with the kind of Gestapo brutality we see every night on TV. Nor does it add much credibility to the Myth of the Six Million. If Grynszpan wasn't gassed, who was?



Digging Further Into the Scalp Libel

"Sunday Supplements Slander the American Majority" (*Instauration*, Sept. 1982) should have quoted an article in the *Smithsonian* (Sept. 1980), which deals with what "is possibly the largest mass burial ever found in North America, a bed of bones that bear macabre witness to the Crow Creek [Buffalo County, South Dakota] massacre in which more than 500 men, women and children died."

Bone studies of the victims disclosed

that hands and feet had been severed for trophies. Scalping marks appear on most craniums. These are long cuts made with a sharp stone knife in the process of removing the scalp lock at the hairline. Scalps have been known from historic times as a type of war prize, but our evidence shows that scalping has a long prehistory on the Plains. One poor fellow had been scalped some time before the massacre: his skull shows signs of healing. This time he did not survive.

The bodies were dismembered. Many long bones bear "butchering marks" where the bone was cut through and broken at the joints [cannibalism?] Other marks show that carnivores like village dogs or coyotes must have gnawed on the remains before burial.

For Instaurationists the most interesting point about the Crow Creek massacre is its date: the fall of 1325! Clearly these Indians were not introduced to scalping by English and Dutch colonists. (Do you suppose they learned it from the Vikings?)

Despite all the evidence, the idea that Indians learned scalping from the English continues to be popularized by television programs such as "Centennial" and in supposedly scholarly books. Gerhard Herm, author of *The Celts*, reports Herodotus's story of scalping by the Scythians and cites Diodorus Siculus's reference to Celtic warriors with victims' heads dangling from their

horses' bridles. Herm, too, attributes American Indian scalping to white colonial practice, but provides no evidence for his claim.

Except for Herodotus's Scythians, I am at a loss for any literary evidence for Old World scalping or head-hunting. Allegedly the ancient Egyptians cut off hands and the Mongols cut off ears, but the purpose in each case was to make a statistical body count of enemy losses. European warriors customarily took weapons, shields and armor as battle trophies. The taking of scalps as trophies is more appropriate to a Stone Age culture, such as that of the American Indian.

Numerous examples from European heroic literature confirm this. Thus, in the *Iliad*, Diomedes and Odysseus capture Dolon, and Diomedes cuts off the Trojan's head with his sword. Diomedes and Odysseus take Dolon's equipment as trophies -- but leave the head. Aias the Runner severs Imbrius's head and throws it at Hector, but Aias keeps Imbrius's weapons as trophies. Flosi cuts off Helgi's head and leaves it alone (*Njal's Saga*). Hagen cuts off the ferryman's head and throws it in the river (*Nibelungenlied*). At Roncevaux the corpses are stacked up like cordwood, but not a single scalp or head is taken (*The Song of Roland*).

200

Zip 200 was unable to check the claim that an "English ballad" indicated that Earl Godwine had indulged in scalping, but another indefatigable Instaurationist did.

This "ballad" actually occurs as a poetic excerpt from the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle for the year 1036. At that time Canute had died and named Harctacanute king. An illegitimate son, Harold, also had pretensions to the throne, as did a young prince named Alfred, an exile who chose that year to come to England to visit his mother. The visit seems to have unnerved Earl Godwine, the

staunch defender of the realm, and he moved promptly to prevent it. This is the point at which the chronicle breaks into poetry:

Ac Godwine hine þa gesece,
 7 hine on hæfte sece;
 7 his gefenan he to drap;
 7 sume mislice ofslah,
 sume hi man mid feo sealde,
 sume hreoflice acsealde,
 sume hi man bende,
 sume hi man blende.
 sume hamfode
 sume hættode;

But Godwine he put a stop to that,
 And visited captivity on him [Alfred];
 And his following he drave off;
 And some mislikely slew,
 Some he sold to a man for a fee
 Some corpselike quelled [killed]
 Some he bound to a man
 Some he blinded to a man
 Some mutilated
 Some "hatted"

Looking up "hatted" in my Anglo-Saxon dictionary, I find that the word is cross-referenced back to at least one collection of ancient Anglo-Saxon laws, where it would undoubtedly be found as a penalty or punishment for some crime or misdeed. If the law could be dug up, it would undoubtedly tell us all we need to know about the whys and wherefores of alleged scalping among the Anglo-Saxons. That we would need to dig so deep for it would seem to indicate that, though known, it couldn't have been too common.

136



Raised bone lesions in the lower right of the skull show victim was scalped many years before he was murdered

Ponderable Quote

We must hit everywhere; in the country, in the Arab states and overseas. I know how to do this. I have already had practical experience. It can be done. In this matter, I have already seen hopeless situations that have found a solution. We must strike continuously and everywhere and not merely in retaliation. If we know they are in a given Arab country, or even in Europe, we must strike in spite of all the difficulties. There is no need of a large-scale war. Suddenly, someone disappears here, someone is found dead there, someone is found stabbed to death in a European nightclub. We need to find the right methods. Such operations should be carried out continuously.

Major General Ariel Sharon, Israeli defense minister
 Yediot Aharonot, May 26, 1974

An "F" in History for Henry K.

Conservative Digest (Aug. 1982) carried an interview with Henry Kissinger, in which the following snippet appeared:

CD: *Let me ask you about one allegation concerning your view of reality. And I'd like to get all the way through this before you deny it.*

In his 1976 book, "On Watch," former Chief of Naval Operations Admiral Zumwalt tells of a conversation he had with you in late 1970 in which you supposedly told him how you thought the U.S., like so many earlier civilizations, had passed its historic high point. You supposedly said it was your job to persuade the Russians to give us the best deal we could get while recognizing that historical forces favored them.

Admiral Zumwalt says that you realized in the light of history that you would be seen as the one who negotiated terms favorable to the Soviets but the American people had only themselves to blame because they lacked the stamina to stay the course against the Soviet Union, which is "Sparta to our Athens."

KISSINGER: Well, that's a great line—especially since Athens won the Peloponnesian War. I have absolutely no recollection of it. And my wife, who was on the train with me to the Army-Navy game has no recollection of it.

Kissinger received a Ph.D. from Harvard in 1953. His field of study was political science and history. His remark that Athens won the Peloponnesian War shows what the doctorate, the institution that bestowed it and the man who received it are worth. Any high-school student with the slightest knowledge of ancient history will remember that the Peloponnesian War (431-404 B.C.) ended in the crushing defeat of Athens, with Spartan troops marching in, taking over the city and pulling down the famous long walls. But Dr. Kissinger, the Harvard professor, didn't know this. In fact, he seems to know nothing about one of the most important wars of all time. How much more does this "greatest American secretary of state" not know? And how much longer will America's media-appointed and self-appointed elder statesmen continue to peddle his large store of misinformation and disinformation to the American public?

What's even more dismaying, the *Conservative Digest* interlocutor allowed Kissinger to get away with his howler. In this day of the flagging IQ and the plummeting SAT,

even political opponents have adopted the policy of the mutual coverup to hide their ignorance. Out of kindness we won't mention that *Conservative Digest* compounded its analphabetism by leaving a very important "n" out of Peloponnesian.

First Sperm Banker

Artificial insemination of domestic animals has been going on for some time, even on an intercontinental basis. The artificial insemination of humans -- some call it telegenesis -- is relatively new. So new that it has met violent opposition, particularly when it involves breeding for intelligence, the purpose of Robert Graham's California sperm bank.

But the idea itself is not at all new, nor is some early tinkering with the idea. In his book, *Les sélections sociales* (published in 1896), Georges Vacher de Lapouge, one of the greatest -- and for that reason one of the most forgotten -- of French anthropologists, writes, "Sperm can be transported . . . I achieved a successful impregnation at Montpellier with sperm sent by mail from Beziers . . ."

Lapouge refers to a few other successful experiments involving artificial insemination -- presumably of humans -- as a means of overcoming sterility. He said the "products" of his experiments had a "particularly strong vitality," as if the aeration and relative chilling produced a favorable action on spermatozoa, perhaps because the reduction of their path allowed them to reach the egg in a more vigorous state.

Lapouge wanted to make artificial insemination a routine practice to develop superior races by substituting planned and scientific reproduction for what hitherto had been spontaneous and impulsive. He wanted to separate love (or lust) from pregnancy.

"A very small number of absolutely perfect masculine individuals would suffice to impregnate all the women worthy of perpetuating the race . . . Minerva would replace Eros. One sole reproductive male in good health would be enough to assure 200,000 births per year."

Recidivist Slavers

One would hardly suppose that members of the two minorities which have been making the loudest noises against slavery in this century would be arrested for peonage, the crime of using slave labor. Yet in two recent cases of peonage in North Carolina and Florida the slave-ring operators were Negroes.

But these cases were only small beer compared to the international slave market recently discovered in California. The overseers of this traffic in humans allegedly sold illegal Indonesian aliens as bond servants to

the affluent Beverly Hills crowd. Here is a list of the inditees: David Mussry and Mordecai Sassoon of Jakarta, Indonesia; Elsa Singman, Lily Judah, Jack and Hilda Sassoon, Saul and Elie Mizrahe, Moses Asian, all of Los Angeles; and Nasim Mussry of Beverly Hills.

The international slave trade, in which Jews played an important part, was banned by the U.S. in 1808. Apparently some Jews haven't heard the news.

Bad Beat, Worse Words

Dr. John Diamond of New York City has studied the beats in over 20,000 musical recordings and tested them on people. He finds that waltz music tends to strengthen listeners and induce an optimistic attitude. Rock music is unusually stressful and depressing, regardless of the volume and whether or not the listener likes (or thinks he likes) the stuff. The worst offender is the "stopped anapestic" rhythm, found in over half the top hits of any given week. Diamond says it is "contrary to our natural body beats and rhythms," and therefore weakens us.

The lyrics of rock music can be deadlier yet. Last spring, top stars Paul McCartney and Stevie Wonder joined forces for "Ebony and Ivory":

Ebony and ivory
Live together in perfect harmony
Side by side on my piano keyboard
Oh, Lord, why don't we?

The answer to that is simple: when was the last time you saw a little brown piano key?

The Beatles once had a hit called "Get Back" ("to where you once belonged"), which supposedly ridiculed Enoch Powell's plan to repatriate Britain's nonwhites. But Blake Morrison, writing in the *Times Literary Supplement*, recalls that John Lennon's Japanese wife, Yoko Ono, "by [then] Lennon's constant companion -- even, to the chagrin of the others, at recording sessions -- wondered whether it wasn't aimed at her." Get back, Yoko.

\$100 Million Man

A multi-millionaire by age 25, David Geffen tried something different a few years back: not making money. He was a total flop. Now the sallow Golden Boy of the record industry, who built up Asylum Records (a "jewel" of a company, he says), has returned with Geffen Records -- plus movies, theater, art, etc. *New York* magazine says, "He may well be the single most influential figure in pop music now." Quite a head trip for a lad from Brooklyn who flunked out of Brooklyn College and the University of Texas before getting his start in the mailroom at New York's William Morris

Agency. The fellow who "taught him the ropes" there was fired for lying about his education: the agency wrote his *alma mater* and found he had never graduated. Geffen, a fast study with "the glances of a street kid who wants always to be totally aware," knew just what to do. He claimed he had graduated from UCLA, waited for the reply from the school registrar, steamed it open, slipped in a forgery, and sent the envelope on its way. From that rotten foundation, it was up, up and away.



Geffen comforting the widow Lennon

Geffen Records, only two years old, projects a \$12 million profit for 1982. The first production of Geffen Films was "Personal Best," about two lesbian athletes. Geffen opened on Broadway with "Dreamgirls," an all-black musical, then turned to "Master Harold and the Boys," about a sensitive young South African whose father is an alcoholic and a racist. *Newsweek* says "Master Harold" will "inevitably be produced all over the United States": it shows "the awful truth about [white] racism: it's both homicidal and suicidal."

Geffen can afford to take risks because he has some very special creditors:

I find it pretty easy to sail through the [movie] community. Particularly because I have one thing which is unique in this community -- the support of Warner Communications and Steve Ross [reportedly to the tune of \$100 million]. It's not a question of *clout*, it's a question of *backing*.

Everyone seems to love the sallow Golden Boy. Martin Peretz, editor-in-chief of *The New Republic*, marvels:

It's remarkable that for somebody who is fundamentally unschooled, he has near-perfect taste. He has the best Magrit-

tes, the best Tiffany glass. He has a remarkable ability to identify cant, and a fine nose for the bogus.

Boy wonder Geffen is now a regent of the University of California.

Just one part of *New York's* accolade surprises us:

There are two small framed posters leaning against [Geffen's] off-white walls. One has the engraved words (from the Beatles' "The End"), "And in the end, the love you take is equal to the love you make."

This, for those too old to know, is the syrupy "karma" doctrine which hippies and peaceniks prated about endlessly in the late 1960s: wicked Amerika was going to "get it" someday in return for dropping bombs on innocent little Hanoi. One is not surprised that the Beatles believed in karma. But Geffen -- whom the *Washington Post* says "has an obvious affinity for thought" -- might well wonder if the tit-for-tat logic of his wall poster applies to Shatila as well as Vietnam.

Clerical Logorrhea

Anyone who thinks professors a dubious lot should take a look-see at the theologians. Since the second Vatican Council (1965) America's Catholic bishops have moved distinctly leftward and taken to rehashing the Sermon on the Mount. "Surrender to the invader," Bishop Thomas Gumbleton of Detroit counsels his flock. "Let yourself be dominated." Self-defense is "un-Christlike We must defend against evil by goodness If that seems contrary to human reasoning, then human reasoning must go by the boards."

Archbishop Raymond Hunthausen of Seattle, listening to a different trumpet, apocalyptically proclaims, "Drive back the impending doom . . . and see that there are no enemies."

Bishop Francis Quinn of Sacramento wants a world state -- a Leviathan with fangs as well as teeth.

We must work toward a world body that will be made up of freely chosen representatives of all the nations of the world. This world body must not give veto power to the major nations or to any nations. And this world body must have a military force strong enough to insist that all the member nations of the world settle their disputes as intelligent beings should settle them, through negotiation and discussion.

Bishop Michael H. Kenny of Juneau is on a transcendental kick, "As followers of the Lord whose naked image hangs before us on the cross, our kingdom is not of this world. We are a faith community" Although it will be a few billion years before our sun explodes, Kenny is convinced the end is nigh.

The end will surely be nigh for Americans if they should heed the advice of the Bishop Hunthausen: "I believe that one obvious meaning of the cross is unilateral disarmament."

Raise the Lusitania!

President Wilson's special envoy in London, Colonel Edward House, heard King George V say something most curious on May 6, 1915. In his diary, House noted, "The King toyed with some papers on his desk, and suddenly asked me, 'Colonel, what will America do if the Germans sink the *Lusitania*?'"

What made the comment especially noteworthy was the fact that the *Lusitania* was sunk the next day, with a loss of 1,201 lives, many of them American. The famous incident brought the U.S. a good deal closer to intervention on the British side in World War I.

House's "evidence" of British connivance in the sinking is circumstantial at best. But there is an overwhelming body of proof that the *Lusitania* was being used as a munitions carrier on her fatal journey, and that she was set up as a target for German U-boats or at least exposed to "criminal risk." It is almost certain that the explosion which sent her down was greater than one torpedo could make.

An expert private salvage group called Oceaneering is using the *Lusitania* to test some daring new theories on how to lift heavy weights from great depths.

"The first stage," writes Colin Simpson of the *Toronto Star*, "was to make a full photographic survey of the complete wreck to marine archeological standards." This was done by Scorpio, a sort of "underwater helicopter" equipped with fancy cameras and powerful lights. "What [Scorpio's] survey has shown so far is that there is a vast hole on the underside of the port bow on the opposite side to that from which the U-20's torpedo struck."

The salvage masters at Oceaneering are convinced this hole was caused by the massive internal explosion of something stored in the forehold. Alas, they will never know precisely what was in that hold because someone else was down to the wreck site earlier and picked it clean. That is indeed curious because, according to the British Admiralty's cargo list, the hold was mostly full of butter, lard, oysters and such.

Who did the job? The wreck site is visible from the Irish coastguard station on the Old Head of Kinsale and only two recovery ships have moored there since the war. One was owned, the other chartered by the British Admiralty, which has met every outside attempt at salvaging the *Lusitania* with "open hostility." Now the question on everyone's lips is: Did Winston Churchill, the First Lord of the Admiralty, send hundreds of Americans to a watery grave to help bring Washington to Britain's rescue?

Love Those Aliens

Critic David Brudnoy believes the contents of the nation's movies and theaters are moving in opposite directions. Movies are for the masses, and some, like "Conan the Barbarian," show "what might be called a crypto-fascist orientation." Plays, written by left-wingers for left-wing audiences, deal mostly with the sorrows of "cripples, coloreds, and queers," as critic Gerald Bordman phrased it on Brudnoy's radio program.

Bordman gave dozens of examples: *Bent*: homosexuals under Nazism; *West Side Waltz*: aging spinsters and a freaky hippie girl; *Mass Appeal*: bisexuality in the clergy; *A Chorus Line*: guilt-ridden gays, upward-striving ethnics, pushy blacks; *Children of a Lesser God*: the deaf; *Fifth of July*: crippled homosexual; *Dreamgirls*: Motown black singers and their cynical boyfriends and managers; and on and on.

One movie which is anything but conservative in outlook is "E.T.," which Andrew Sarris says "has emerged as the closest thing we have to a universal religion." In the *Village Voice*, Sarris and friends analyze all the deep sexual meanings which director Steven Spielberg apparently packed into his film. E.T., for example, represents "a return of the absent phallus." As for a teenager's poke at a woman's posterior, it is "a gesture which, in its richness, is perhaps analogous (although certainly not in subtlety) to certain moments in the films of Howard Hawks." The article is emphatically *not* a put-on.

Of course, "only children and Freudians" can interpret parts of the movie correctly. This smear on children conveniently ignores the fact that the (presumably Gentile) boy who played Elliott, E.T.'s companion, had to be *forced* by Spielberg to yell "penis breath," and to make a couple of other off-color remarks. Obviously, his childhood has been rather more dignified than was his master's. Critic Sarris adds that there are also "third world" interpretations of "E.T." You know: love those aliens.

Last summer's best movie was practically a spinoff from Jean Raspail's novel *The Camp of the Saints* (just reissued in paperback). "Blade Runner" is set in the year 2019 in the city of Los Angeles. *National Review* draws the scene:

Despite some of its high-tech features, the place looks like a nightmare version of a combined Ginza, Calcutta, and Hispanic *barrio*. Automobiles have been replaced by bikes. The music that blares in the streets is sometimes Arabic and sometimes Oriental. The huge illuminated head of a Japanese woman urges customers to drink Coca-Cola, in Japanese. The common language is Streetspeak, a combination of Japanese, Spanish and German [?]. The director, Ridley Scott, clearly

has in mind the possibility of cultural disaster.

Anyone who has been in Los Angeles recently knows the choice of 2019 is wildly optimistic. The Buckleyites conclude by saying, "The silent invasion must be brought under control" -- just one page after praising Senator Simpson's toothless, amnesty-centered immigration "reform" bill.

Stepin Line, Black Boy

The most racist racists are the phony "liberals" who treat blacks as a united bloc and ridicule those who step out of line. Anyone who doubts that proposition should have witnessed the behavior of Rep. Henry Gonzales of Texas last September. He not only called the highest-ranking black in the Reagan administration a "Stepin Fetchit," but refused to apologize later when politely asked.

On Friday, September 17, Gonzales told a group of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) employees that their boss, Samuel Pierce, was "not Uncle Tom; he's Stepin Fetchit." The reference was to Lincoln T. Perry, a black comedian of 40 years ago who drawled and shuffled obsequiously.

Four days later Pierce and Gonzales crossed paths. Pierce, a model of gentility, said:

Mr. Chairman, I usually enjoy appearing before you and your subcommittee to discuss matters of mutual concern in the areas of housing and urban development

However, I have hesitated a long time before deciding to appear . . . today because it is most difficult for me to testify before a body whose chairman is so lacking in quality and dignity and is so unskilled in the use of the English language that he has to resort to the use of vile, abusive and racist language in order to attack me.

Even the liberal blacks present supported Pierce, but Gonzales refused to back down:

I meant what I said, and I said what I meant. Whether you accept the wisdom, the propriety or the appropriateness of the words used, I accept responsibility and do not now repudiate or apologize for them.

Later, an aide to Gonzales said his language stemmed from a concern that HUD employees were suffering "disproportionate" personnel cuts. She quoted Gonzales as telling HUD workers that Pierce was getting a free ride "just because he is black. If he was white, they would already have hauled him before the civil rights commission. If he was white, he already would have been pilloried."

Far from getting a free ride, however, Pierce and other black Reaganites are sub-

jected to greater psychological pressure than white Reaganites. Those who know firsthand what psychological pressure is see black hyper-conformity as one indicator of the race's *biologically* conservative and limited nature. Most of its members feel they must unite ideologically in order to compete. Congressman Gonzales also thinks very little of black individuality. While he would call any white politician who appealed to his race collectively a "Neanderthal," he pretends that bloc-voting Hispanics and blacks are not primitive.

Alien Corn

Nearly 20% of the full-time students at the University of Southern California are foreigners. So are nearly 30% of those at Texas Southern University. Many of the latter are Nigerians, who are attempting to grasp the intricacies of oil technology. If the past is any indication, they are a lot more likely to grasp American citizenship. Third World students in the U.S. have always had a tendency to overstay their visas -- usually by about a lifetime. Those who can't get an American girl to fall for them usually find some other loophole.

Twenty-five years ago there were some 64,000 foreign students in this country, most of them European. Last year, there were 312,000, most of them non-European. By 1990, when the Baby Bust generation has reached college age, it is expected that fearful college administrators will allow foreign admissions to balloon past the one million mark.

The student-import business seems to make everyone happy. A lot of nonwhites get to enter the Promised Land #2. A lot of hack professors at third-rate colleges continue to make an easy living. A lot of intelligent white women, who might otherwise be resigned to housewifery (for shame) and childbearing (groan), have "stimulating" careers teaching and administering colored youngsters. It is no wonder that more and more colleges are sending "headhunters," as the song goes, to faraway places with strange-sounding names to pepper our dimly salted classrooms.

African Justice

American blacks are a racist bunch and they demand racism from their fellows. The intense peer pressure which white Americans leave behind with their teenage years remains with blacks throughout life. Prosecuting attorneys understand this and do their best to obtain all-white juries when blacks are on trial -- a near impossibility in our darkening cities.

All too typical is the case of Officer John Scarangella. As he sat in his patrol car in Queens, New York, on April 16, 1981, two blacks fired more than 30 bullets at him and partner Richard Rainey. Rainey survived. Last summer the murder trial came before nine black jurors, two Hispanics and one

Jewish woman. Not even the beguiling wiles of defense attorney William Kuntsler (he really gets around) could convince the 12 that the black gunmen, former Black Panthers, had been framed by the police. Nonetheless, five jurors balked at the idea of sending two "soul brothers" to jail for life. So, for a full week, the jurors alternately shouted and cried before returning a conviction on the lesser charge of attempted second-degree murder in the wounding of Officer Rainey.

Later, only two jurors agreed to be quoted by name: the rest were still scared. Juror Gertrude Rothman blamed the deadlock on racism and said "one woman was afraid of her shadow and kept changing back and forth." Juror William Benton said, "There was a lot of concern about life after this verdict and what your friends, other blacks, would think about what you had done." Caught in a moral bind, at least three of the jurors had complained of illness and begged to be excused. Through it all, the jury foreman rarely maintained order.

The moral of the story: when you or a loved one gets shot, if you want to see justice done, you better hope the gunman is white.

Blind Justice

In a nation filled with soft judges, the ultimate creampuff may be Harry B. Aron of Chicago's Juvenile Court. One of his recent cases involved two teenaged gang members who are believed to have killed 15-year-old Jeffery Peebles for not answering when they asked him if he belonged to a local gang. Homicide detectives were scheduled to testify, and their delay made Aron impatient. Finally, he told the alleged killers' attorney: "We're going to release these boys to their parents." Jeffery Peebles's parents nearly fainted as they heard the judge ask, "Do you think you boys can be good if I release you?" This was just two days after the murder. Fortunately, the detectives arrived at that very moment and prevented the release.

In another recent case, Judge Aron released two brothers, ages 15 and 16, to their parents' custody when, in the preceding month, they had robbed four pizza deliverymen, forcing one to strip and locking another up while they drove off in their trucks. Not too surprisingly, the boys committed three armed robberies in the next fortnight, terrorized an elderly lady in her home, stuck up a cleaning store, and finally killed a teenager. When the cops hauled them back before Aron, he looked at them reproachfully and said, "You boys really let me down."

No less criminally negligent is former Common Pleas Judge John Flaherty. In 1974, a 6-foot, 4-inch, 240-pound felon came up for sentencing on seven armed robbery convictions, including one in which he stabbed his victim with a butcher knife. Flaherty put him on probation. Today the felon has 32 warrants outstanding for his

arrest, including one for a recent shoot-out. The case provoked attempts to remove Flaherty from the bench. Instead, he now sits on the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

Sad Commentary

We hate to see Yiddish words admitted to the English language, but the case for accepting *chutzpah* (hoots-puh) is exceptional. How could there be a native English word for this kind of behavior when nothing like it existed among Englishmen or their neighbors?

Jews usually define *chutzpah* as "unmiltigated gall," and recite the story of the boy who killed both his parents and then demanded sympathy as an "orphan." We have a better illustration. It's an advertisement for *Commentary*, America's premier Israel First magazine, which depicts George Washington (of "no entangling foreign alliance" fame) in his classic crossing-the-Delaware pose, and with a big smile on his face, as he reads -- you guessed it. Stan Fine executed the cartoon, which is headlined, "Reader for reader, America's most influential magazine." That, friends, is *chutzpah!*



No Escape

Conquering people have always occupied the best lands while pushing defeated groups into waste spaces. All across Europe, Nordics were historically concentrated on broad and fertile plains, while Alpines, Upper Paleolithic types, Lapps and others were centered in narrow mountain valleys and other peripheral areas. This point has been endlessly documented. In America, too, the Indian reservations are mostly in unproductive backwoods settings.

Now that the "world system" -- essentially a matter of group punishment and reward -- has swung (or been swung) 180 degrees into reverse, and Nordics are everywhere the conquered (although not, of course, by force of arms), it is we who are invariably pushed into the background. America's largest, wealthiest cities and states -- New York, Philadelphia, California -- are the first to slip from our grasp. Our strongholds are increasingly in places like West Virginia and Arkansas.

Our conquerors head straight for our best colleges, best parks, best hospitals and cultural centers, and take them over first. They leave us -- for now -- with what we once considered the duds. But as they wreck our masterpieces, those duds look better and better. Someday, unless we unite in revolutionary fervor, they will trail us up every "holler" in West Virginia.

It is as if the conquering Nordics of the past, instead of creating civilizations where they first settled, had botched everything and then feverishly pursued the racial Alpine into his Alpine mountain retreat and the Indian onto his barren plateau. Unless the "world system" is restored to what it was in earlier, more natural times, Nordics have no future, even as a peripheral remnant. The corrosive center of decadent civilization will follow us relentlessly, regardless of geography. We cannot escape the all-conquering failures.

For an *able* race held in thralldom, there is no physical refuge. Only consciousness of our predicament can save us now.

New Title for Falwell

In 1981, Jerry Falwell was *Instauration's* Majority Renegade of the Year. This year we propose a new designation for Menahem Begin's favorite Christian: "Moral Monster of the Year."

Listen to this creature! Listen to what he said during a fund-raising trip to Philadelphia *after*, not before, the Shatila massacre. Calling on all Christians in America to rally around Begin, Falwell declared Israel "could not possibly have allowed" the butchery to take place.

If I thought for a moment that the Israelis were committed to that kind of savagery, I would openly rebuke them from every platform I do not believe it . . . So if they are innocent, and I think they are, I can speak out for them. If they have made a mistake, I am sure it will be just that, a mistake, not intentional.

During the siege of Beirut, Falwell explained that he had been talking to Begin and had dispatched "57 clergymen" to see how things were going behind the Israeli lines. They reported that the Israelis "were, in fact, with surgical precision caring for the welfare of private citizens."

Denial of truth, covering up for grisly massacres, cheering the cluster bombing of hospitals and refugee camps, applauding the murder of thousands of civilians, all adds up to collaboration with the worst form of late 20th-century terror, the organized state terrorism of Israel! Give Falwell a few more years and he'll do a much better job of killing Christianity than 10,000 atheists and 100,000 Kremelines.





The critique of "Man as Sense Organ of the Earth" (*Instauration*, May and June, 1982) by a professor of philosophy in the October 1982 issue, contains the following paragraph:

The author wants us to conclude from his metaphysical edifice that the Aryan race has, as it were, God's sanction, because it is in line with the inframind's fuller development and therefore is "pro-evolutionary." On the other hand, the Jewish race, among others, is "anti-evolutionary." Presumably, it is something retarding the fuller development of the inframind; thus, in effect, it is anathema to God. But as it can be argued in Hegel that *what is is what is best*, so in this system it can be argued: every living thing on earth being the doing of the Planet-inframind (and it, the doing of the cosmic inframind) *what is the case* has to be what is in line with Planet-inframind's transhuman evolutionary apperceptions and creation; therefore, whatever we may as individuals aberrantly think, *what is the case* is pro-evolutionary; therefore, *what is the case* is best. But the "present stranglehold on Western culture" (p. 14, *op. cit.*) of the Jews is what is the case; hence, far from its being anti-evolutionary, it has to be pro-evolutionary; hence, what is right and good and should be defended! That, I am sure, is a conclusion that the author would not want us to accept.

But how can acceptance be avoided by any of us? We white non-Jews are patently unable to adapt to our current environment, and the Jews are obviously able to do so. We are thus the dinosaurs of our time, and they the emerging future. It is all very well to say that they will probably have a very short future, but that does not change our position of having no present, to say nothing of no future. Like any species unable to cope with its environment, we are endangered. It is true that we are, in large part, the creators of that environment, a power unknown to the dinosaurs and other evolutionary failures which have preceded us into extinction — but that does not change the penalty for our inability.

The author of "Man as Sense Organ" understands this, as evidenced by the penultimate paragraph of the piece:

It must be understood that it is indeed possible for this (or any life-bearing) planet to develop a criminal species. And post-1945 mankind, led by the Jewish virus, the miscegenationist part of the white race in America and the Christian self-deceivers, has now evolved into such a criminal species. After having destroyed in World War II the only social systems on earth which were compatible with the life of the planet, America is proceeding to ensure that this planetary system will abort its primary mission of developing more and more intelligent life. It is increasingly obvious that only thermonuclear war or mass self-poisoning can remove this unmitigated ecological-evolutionary disaster, the egalitarian and cosmically irresponsible American empire, from the globe, and give the planet hope of further progress.

He limits "criminal species" to those whites who are "miscegenationist" and "Christian self-deceivers," but aren't nearly all American whites accessories to crime as he

defines it, and hence just as criminal? And this American criminal species is certainly a developed, or soon-to-be-extinct species. (In biology, devolution is defined as: retrograde development, degeneration, inverse evolution.) It is heartening to find anyone willing to take such a pitiless look at the white American, and not shrink from pronouncing him finished. On first reading, "criminal species" seems daringly pejorative, but on consideration it becomes irresistibly apt. After all, the American commits his first crime against himself, then his family, then his race, and, finally, against Mother Earth herself. Like all dedicated criminals, he cannot stop, but must go on to greater crimes, to the final crime of utter self-destruction, referred to in the article as "his need for mass extinction."

This is the situation, so clear and inevitable as to make any detailed discussion of it tedious. The only interesting question remains: how did it happen? What was the environmental problem which those of European stock had to solve in order to survive, but could not? Empirical observation can hardly avoid the post-1500 A.D. reliance on consciousness and the "scientific method." That reliance gave us the fruits of high technology, but cost us our common sense and order of priorities. In some fifteen generations from 1500 to the present day, we have used the scientific method to create a society so chaotic, so inimical to our best interests, that defending it has turned us into a "criminal species."

(Or perhaps our society is the result of devolution rather than its cause. Certainly no contemporary descendants of North Europeans of 1500 seem definitely psychological mutants, and there are pronounced physical differences, too, especially among Americans. It is a pertinent field of inquiry although subordinate to the fact of social failure itself.)

It can be claimed that our use of the scientific method was at fault, not the method itself. On the other hand, isn't it true that the scientific method was laughably selective (dishonest) in its deductive reasoning from the very start? A medieval peasant disliked aliens because they were alien, a healthily instinctive reaction which owed nothing to reason. His American descendant is afraid to allow himself such a visceral conclusion because he believes in "science," which tells him there is no "difference" between himself and aliens. If our science-based society is a failure — and we all seem agreed that it is — then science must bear the major share of the responsibility for that failure. After all, it is our religion, and it has misled us, by omission if nothing else. The Reformation was an attempt to rectify a religion because it didn't square with science — it didn't fit the facts. We are now faced with a science which doesn't fit the facts — but being, evidently, more cowed by our ruling superstition than the Reformers, we don't dare overturn it.

The pathetic simplemindedness of our belief in "science" comes through even in a piece like "Man as Sense Organ." Much of the argument in the second part turns on the relationship of man with the "inframind" via the "essential core of all life . . . the genetic code or deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)":

It is DNA which must, then, be the transceiving "antenna" for impulses from the inframind, impulses which constitute an information flow controlling and communicating with bodily morphology Since all life is based on DNA, there is at least some global communication among all the strands of DNA and RNA on earth, no matter what the life form. Consequently, when a given problem of biological existence is solved, the particular species' DNA transfers the knowledge of that solution to the planetary inframind. There it waits to be picked up again by the DNA of other species which must later solve the same problem.

DNA is a fairly recent (post-1945) scientific "fact." It superseded a long line of such facts and will, undoubtedly, be itself superseded. A theory of paranormal species communication with an inframind could exist (and be correct or incorrect) without relying on DNA. But as presented above, the "fact" of DNA is used as essential to the argument of paranormal communication. The cart, as in most science-based arguments, is before the horse.

Incidentally, much of "Man as Sense Organ" reads like a parody of Shaw's Creative Evolution, which proposed a far clearer and compelling theory of relationship between universal mind (Life Force) and species. That theory, with its links to Lamarck and Bergson, is out of fashion now, but it is amusing to see how dangerously close to it the author of "Man as Sense Organ" skates.

The problem for all of us in our acceptance of established Darwinian Evolution is that our "purposiveness" can play no part in it. (Or as Shaw said of Darwin, "He has banished mind from the universe.") Any mutation must be accidental, divorced from all conscious urging or desire. If, in our present dead end, we try to "think" and "act" our way out, we are fighting vainly against evolutionary determinism: we are what we are and must take our lumps accordingly. We can do nothing now except wait for an accidental mutation, over which we have no control, to save the world — not to save

us, naturally, because such a constructive mutation will immediately dispose of us failures as the first step in that salvation, assuming that we are still around at all.

On the other hand, even though it has been a disaster, a purposeful consciousness is all we have; and we can't really help believing that we can use it to solve our problems. Since our primary problem is ourselves, we are forever drawn to the heretical notion that we can change our stripes and have a hand in our mutation. And, of course, science being fickle, there's always the chance that next year Darwin will be discredited, and Lamarck in favor.

(Even now, it can be argued that the American deviation from the medieval peasant of 500 years ago shows the transmission of acquired characteristics. How else, really, can the emergence of the American be explained at all? Do not the children of those who have acquired moronhood, for instance — inability to read and write; exceedingly sparse vocabulary; endless television watching; video game playing, and other subnormal activity — show a greater tendency to it (moronhood) than the children of those who have not acquired it? Of course, it can also be argued that the American mutation is strictly Darwinian in that it is an accidental response to an environment: put enough children in enough video game parlors, and one or more are bound to "adapt" permanently, and then squeeze the others out.)

In any event, among other proofs that the devolutionary mutation did take place has been the reaction of the Jews. For the past one hundred years, they have instinctively known that the Americans, of all the peoples of the earth, were going to be the easiest prey. They saw through all the John Wayne posturing to the devolutionary process which was actually taking place. Not tooled a bit, each and every Jew, no matter how dense on any other subject, has recognized us as downgoing mutants. Their perception — and success in acting on it — is full, unarguable evidence of our biological condition.

Down Under Howler

BRITISH NAZI FRONTS UP

FARRAGO 2 JULY 1982

Wanted Man Hides Out In Australia

Prominent overseas organisations have claimed that one of the world's most pernicious neo-Nazis has entered Australia under an assumed name to avoid prosecution in the United States. Farrago this week profiles this fugitive from justice.

In late 1981 William McCalden, who until mid 1981 had been operating under the alias Lewis Brandon as head of the *Institute for Historical Review* in America, fled the US rather than face court action in California. It has now been revealed that McCalden may be hiding out in Australia.

William David McCalden, aged between 29 and 32 was a prominent and vocal member of the British National Front until 1974. Another is Robert Faurrison, Professor of Literature of Lyon, who

Whilst the IHR has failed to win any credibility from mainstream "established" or "radical" press (Bruce Page, editor of the *New Statesman*, told Butz that "it would make no more sense to enter the intellectual debate with you than it would have done to do so with Goebbels"), it has, however, attracted support from both the extreme Right and the extreme Left "hoax" theory lends support neo-Nazis.

A Melbourne civil liberties activist John Bennett — who was featured in the *Farrago* civil liberties edition worked with McCalden when, as Lewis Brandon, he headed the IHR and edited the IHR. Bennett is the Australian representative on the IHR Editorial Advisory Committee, and regularly contributed to the *Journal*. He attended Revisionist Conferences organised by McCalden, and grossly

the *New York Times* and the *National Review* you would then have something like the debate that has happened in Australia. Apart from the League of Rights, Bennett has been almost the sole proponent of IHR propaganda in Australia.

Noting the close relationship between Bennett and the IHR one would be asking whether Australian neo-Nazi David

The Australian media seem to be every bit as "loose-truthed" as the American. Above is a story that appeared in the tabloid *Farrago* (July 2, 1982). It's a howler from beginning to end. David McCalden has never been to Australia; he is not a fugitive from justice; he is not seeking to avoid prosecution in the U.S., where he is a defendant in a civil suit brought about by a prosperous Auschwitz survivor, Mel Mermelstein, who says he has suffered a million dollars worth of mental pain as a result of the Institute for Historical Review's claim that the Holocaust was a hoax. It is noteworthy that the article says (we can't waste the space to make all the lies readable) McCalden "has been traced to Australia by several large and influential organizations, among them the American Anti-Defamation League." In newspeak, the lingua franca of the late 20th century, war has become peace (e.g., Begin's "Peace in Galilee" invasion of Lebanon), and defamation has become anti-defamation.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

One or two readers seem to be under the impression that I am anti-Irish. Far from it. If only some of the Irish were not so determined to be anti-English, I would be only too glad to regard them with tolerance. Recently I was salmon-fishing in Northern Donegal, and was struck, as so often in the past, by the quiet, deliberate friendliness of the local people. Then I drove down for the trout-fishing in Sligo, and picked up a hitchhiker on the way. He turned out to be a highly reactive proletarian from the North, smelling strongly of the drink he had too liberally consumed. Almost immediately, he told me that his house had been burned down on the previous Monday (it was then Wednesday) on account of his being a Catholic in the Protestant town of Carrickfergus, in County Antrim. Encouraged by my polite sympathy, he went on to tell me that his wife had been murdered last year by members of the Ulster Defence Association, who were robbing the bank where she worked. Now, unlike the IRA, the UDA does not make a practice of robbing banks, so I was doubtful about this piece of information. My mistrust was confirmed when he went on to say that he had walked all the way from Carrickfergus (on the east coast of Ireland) to Belleek (within a few miles of the west coast) in one night and a day. He told me that the distance was about 35 miles, whereas, by road, it is about 135 and added that he hadn't been able to get a lift because drivers were so frightened of being hijacked by the UVF (another Protestant group). He made no mention of the IRA. Then he touched me for a loan. If I had been the usual tourist, with little knowledge of history, geography or Ireland, I suppose he might have got something. As it was, I congratulated him on his remarkable walk and said goodbye.

The more I ponder the Northern Ireland problem, the more I realise that it is equally a problem of the South. The British Isles form a single geopolitical area, and close association of some sort is inevitable. It was the Fenians of the South who destroyed the unity of the British Isles, and the North which refused to secede. The only lasting solution will be a reunion of the British Isles, with plenty of regional independence and emphasis on local culture. But there is not going to be peace in Ireland until the Catholics and Protestants are separated out.

* * *

Reading Tim Severin's article, "In the Wake of Sinbad" (*National Geographic*, July 1982), set me thinking about the idea of reconstructing the conditions of ancient voyages, previously considered fabulous. Severin himself reconstructed the voyage of St. Brendan to North America (*National Geographic*, Dec. 1977), but the true pioneer of such expeditions was the Norwegian Thor Heyerdahl, who reconstructed raft voyages from South America to Polynesia (the Kon Tiki expedition) and reed-boat voyages from Ancient Egypt to the Caribbean (the Ra expedition). The details of the various expeditions are fascinating enough in themselves, but I wonder how many readers have fully appreciated

their racial significance.

Diffusionism has far-reaching implications, because it implies that more able and adventurous races were able to spread techniques widely before the coming of civilisation. Come to think of it, the opposite assumption, that cultures evolved similar techniques in isolation, is strongly reminiscent of the idea that life itself must have evolved separately in different parts of the universe. In practice, the notion of independent cultural evolution means that archaeological digs which reveal artifacts very similar, or identical, to those found in other centres of civilisation or culture are not regarded as having established any connexion. Writers like Hermann, Boland and Mahieu have pointed to a whole complex of racial, technical and circumstantial evidence linking different parts of the world, but their evidence has been dismissed out of hand by the academic nitpickers, in the same way that scientific synthesis is rejected in favour of endless pettifogging analysis. Hence the need to demonstrate that contact by sea was indeed possible at an early date.

I well remember the excitement generated by the publication of the English translation of Heyerdahl's *Kon-Tiki* in 1950. We read it avidly, without considering its wider implications. Yet on page 230 the author refers to "my racial theory," encapsulating the point made in more detail on page 132:

Whence had the Polynesians obtained their vast astronomical knowledge, and their calendar, which was calculated with astonishing thoroughness? Certainly not from Melanesian or Malayan peoples to the westward. But the same old vanished race, the "white and bearded men," who had taught Aztecs, Mayas and Incas their amazing culture in America, had evolved a curiously similar calendar and a similar astronomical knowledge which Europe in those times could not match.

This last point leaves open the question as to where these culture-bringers originated, for Heyerdahl puts their voyage from South America to Polynesia around A.D. 500. That was nearly three centuries before the Vikings invented the keel and sailed off in all directions. It makes me wonder whether these voyagers were Celts of some kind. One thing most certainly emerged from all the data adduced by Heyerdahl, and that is that they were fair Nordics. Later, he went to Easter Island (as described in his *Aku-Aku*) and discovered some of the natives with recognizable North European traits. One detail from that later book has always haunted me. After the much more numerous coloured slaves working on the building of the giant Europid statues rose against their masters and drove them into the shelter of the caves, the latter were described as sleeping on their knees with their heads on the ground towards the cave mouth, and with weapons in their hands, ready to react immediately to any surprise attack. Are not our few remaining people in the poorer quarters of the great cities forced to live in similar conditions?

Even more important from our point of view than the lesson



that the diffusion of techniques requires the existence of Prometheus figures is the fact that the six men on the Kon-Tiki, five Norwegians and a Swede, gave such a wonderful example of racial solidarity, courage and pertinacity. They had to overcome academic obfuscation in the United States and appalling difficulties and dangers in South America, besides all the perils of the open ocean and the final landfall on a coral reef. One thinks of Knut Haugland risking his life to take the life belt to Herman Watzinger, who was rapidly being left behind in the open ocean. One thinks of the many occasions when all hands had to combine courage, stamina, physical strength and intelligence in order to counter deadly dangers. There is an inspiration here for every young person of our race, and the book should be put into their hands as soon as they are able to appreciate it. Need I say that they will identify with the heroes, not with "the sinister-looking individuals on land, an unpleasant mixture of Indian, negro and Spaniard" (p. 56).

* * *

I go to the cinema very seldom and usually don't think much of what I see. My most recent cinematic experience was *Chariots of Fire*, mostly about the very wonderful Harold Abrahams, who won a sprinting race for Britain at the Olympics after World War I. He was the son of a rich moneylender, went to a public school of a kind (Repton) and then on to Cambridge, which in those days represented privilege and even quality. His father was a first-generation immigrant, but in the film he goes about with a permanent chip on his shoulder because the

corridors of power in England are jealously guarded by Anglo-Saxons. Now, let us translate this into terms which even a liberal can understand. What would our reaction be to a second-generation Anglo-Saxon immigrant in any non-Anglo-Saxon country who felt aggrieved because he did not have immediate access to the levels of power?

There is a subplot involving the Scot, Eric Liddell, who won the 400 metres at the same Olympics, but generally speaking, the other athletes are mere extras, hymning the praises of Abrahams or providing him with a background of sweating, striving goys, most of whom don't quite make it. Two masters of Cambridge colleges dare to agree, in private, that Abrahams sees things differently because he worships a different kind of God. They even dare to reproach him with ignoring the amateur ideal in so far as he employs a professional running coach, and in a classic example of the outburst prompted by the ordeal of courtesy, he denounces them for "the archaic values of the prep-school playground." Other subordinate parts include that of an English actress, who of course adores Abrahams, and the part of the successful hurdler, Lord Lindsay, who at least looks like a lord, which is more than can be said of most members of the present House of Lords.

The film is pretty accurate as regards the period details, but falls down badly where accent and vocabulary are concerned. The dialogue contains such solecisms as: "I've never seen such commitment," "You're a bit of a loner," "He's no problem." The screenwriter, one Colin Welland, received an Oscar for his work.

Father Machree

From the Ould Sod

Despite the early reports of the Brit Queen's pleasant chat with the intruder Michael Fagan, it has become quite clear that the Queen was assaulted by the unemployed drug user. Nevertheless, the only charge lodged against him was for stealing a bottle of wine.

Of course, young Fagan could have been charged with treason under English law for even touching the Queen. If found guilty, he could have received the death penalty -- in theory. Needless to say, theory is about all since no traitor has been put to death in England since the year they hanged Lord Haw Haw.

But why the mild charge and the lying cover-up by the Royal Family? Tis said -- but doubted by this writer -- that it was simply to avoid the Queen's public embarrassment. This might possibly be true in England, but in Ireland it does not wash because Irishmen like myself have long considered the Royal Family to be nothing more than rich trash -- much like the Kennedys in America.

There was the spectacle of Prince Charles in his white suit presiding over the surrender of Rhodesia The queer scandal on the Royal Yacht among some of the sailors The 12-year affair between one of the

Queen's bodyguards and a male prostitute. And so on, and so on.

One reason the unsavory details of the assault on Queenie were not made public is because it would expose the sorry moral state of modern England. What would the white working and unemployed girls of England think of much ado being made over the discomfiting of the Queen by an unemployed white youth when many of them had suffered far worse fates from the unpunished Afros and muddies?

They might not like it.

What would the liberals, minority racists, Stalinists, Troyskyites and their ilk, who control so much of the British news media, have to say if the Queen made any serious attempt to really prosecute that poor crazy member of the unemployed working class?

Why, they'd deafen all England with their howls and cries of royal injustice.

Finally, how would those brave outnumbered types like John Nobull react? They would probably tear themselves away from their fox hunts, ride their poor animals right into the front door of Scotland Yard and beat the hell out of every policeman they encountered with their riding crops before taking out after the Queen's queer bodyguards

and giving them the same treatment. After that, they'd hang Fagan in public and go and have a brandy.


Therefore, the British public has about as much chance of learning the truth about the assault on Queenie as the Americans have of learning the truth about Chappaquiddick.

Ponderable Quote

No society is more conscious of the danger to which any critic of or even commentator upon the race of Israel is exposed than our own. If not praise, then silence is enjoined. Anyone who dares to discuss publicly any one of the many facets of the Jewish impact upon society is indelibly marked as an enemy. Belloc suffered the penalty for discussing what he considered the dangerous influence of international Jewry on public policy.

Jane Soames Nickerson
Hilaire Belloc, Edwardian Radical
(The University Bookman,
Winter 1981)

Talking Numbers



No member of the Israeli Cabinet is female. Women hold only 6½% of the seats in the Knesset. Although 36% of Israel's workforce is female. Yet Steinem, Friedan and Abzug still confine their anti-sexist crusade to the U.S.

#

Bilingual programs in Canada now cost \$448 million annually.

#

In 1981 Ireland had a higher per capita foreign debt than Poland or Argentina.

#

996 men and 12 women are now locked up on American death rows. 41.8% of the convicted killers are black; 4.6% Hispanic. Only 5 men, all of them white, have been executed since 1976, when the Supreme Court resurrected the death penalty. Affirmative action is everywhere.

#

948,000 U.S. families had an annual income of \$75,000 or more in 1980. Delaware has the most millionaires per capita. Nevada comes in second; District of Columbia third. According to the U.S. Treasury, there are no millionaires in North Dakota and Vermont.

#

Two black business colleges in Georgia had a student loan default rate of 71% and 80%.

#

200 high rollers were celebrating "Las Vegas Night" at the Jewish Center in Queens, New York, when six robbers (race unspecified) broke in and relieved them of \$200,000 in cash and jewelry. That's \$1,000 per person. The Center was in violation of the law requiring such "nonprofit" organizations to obtain a special license for gambling.

#

ABC, the biggest media conglomerate, had revenues of \$2.3 billion in 1981, as compared to CBS (\$2.175 billion), RCA (\$1.62 billion), Time, Inc. (\$1.51 billion).

#

Major Japanese corporations are considering a \$10 billion financial aid package for doldrum U.S. corporations.

#

A recent Gallup Poll found that blacks are the most religious U.S. population group. Only 11% said they were not god-fearing and no respondent said he was an atheist. 71% believed in life after death.

Alfred Frauenknecht, the Israeli spy who claims he isn't Jewish, delivered to Mossad 2,000 drawings of engine parts from the French Mirage III jet, 80,000 to 100,000 drawings of jigs, 35,000 to 40,000 drawings of instruments, 80 to 100 drawings of the plant itself and 15,000 documents relating to other specifications, spare parts and maintenance. After the two tons of material was safely in Israel's possession, Frauerknecht was caught by Swiss authorities and sentenced to 4½ years in prison, of which he served only 2½. On April 29, 1975, he was invited to Israel with his wife to watch the first test of the Kfir, the Israeli fighter-bomber that was built from the stolen Mirage blueprints. Stewart Steven, *The Spymasters of Israel*, Macmillan, N.Y., 1980, p. 200.

#

By 1941 almost half of the 160,000 Jews left in Germany were congregated in Berlin. On May 11, 1942, Dr. Joseph Goebbels noted in his diary, "There are still 40,000 Jews in Berlin." In the next five months this number was reduced by a third. By the time the war ended between 1,400 and 6,000 Jews still remained in Berlin. Source: *The Last Jews in Berlin* by Leonard Gross, which appeared as a *Reader's Digest* book selection, while Jews were holocausting Palestinian refugee camps and Lebanese cities.

#

The Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians, having been given \$1 million by Congress to investigate the "psychic health" and financial woes of 120,000 West Coast Japanese, more than half of them American citizens, interned during World War II, promised to finish its report by the end of the year. Instead, Joan Z. Bernstein, chairman of the commission, asked for an additional \$500,900 and an extension to June 30, 1983.

#

About 85% of all male infants born in the U.S. are circumcised. Last year some 1.5 million American babies lost their foreskins. One out of every 500 circumcisions results in serious medical complications.

#

The U.S. has more miles of roads, 3,908,337, than any other country. Next is Brazil with 864,705. But where the U.S. has 39 vehicles per road mile, Italy has 103.

#

A Boston woman with \$89,000 in the bank has been collecting \$46 in food stamps for these many, many months.

#

While Germany's *German* population is declining, its foreign-born inhabitants increased by 3.5% last year to 4.7 million.

In 1979 Juan Corona was found guilty of murdering 25 farm workers (all but one Anglos, according to California newspapers). His trial cost \$300,000. Then an appeals court threw out the verdict on the grounds that the defendant had not been properly defended. In September 1982, Juan was again found guilty in a second trial which cost Californians \$5.1 million.

#

Ivan Boesky, a Wall Street speculator, is rumored to have made \$40 million in the Du Pont takeover of Conoco. Boesky has a staff of 60 lawyers, bankers, accountants and MBAs working full time in his arbitrage racket, which consists of buying large amounts of stock of corporations scheduled for takeover by another corporation. Boesky, a Detroit Jew, remains a staunch Zionist, even after the horrors of West Beirut.

#

Jeff Loose, a San Jose car dealer, says the "big ticket" autos like Ferraris, priced in the \$55,000 to \$100,000 range, are selling better than ever in spite of the recession. To prove it, he flashed his \$500 python-skinned cowboy boots, his \$10,000 diamond-studded Rolex and his \$5,500 gold bracelet.

#

The new Adam York catalog, one of those myriad hunks of junk mail that load up the postman as Christmas approaches, features a WASP shirt for \$28, in pink, navy, beige or white. WASP, the blurb explains, stands for "With Assured Social Prestige." The insignia, which replaces the ubiquitous alligator, "has everyone buzzing." The male model who displayed the shirt had Caucasian features and cocoa pigmentation. Adam York's address, in case any Instaurationist wants to publicly proclaim his WASPishness: 340 Poplar St., Hanover, PA 17331.

#

Tanzania has defaulted five times on the repayment of a \$60.1 million loan from the U.S. It has also defaulted on its loan from the World Bank and has not lived up to the conditions of a \$300 million standby credit from the International Monetary Fund. 60% of Tanzania is arable, and under the British it was one of Africa's breadbaskets. But nothing seems to be growing under the constantly outstretched hand of black Marxist hatchetman Julius Nyerere.

#

An article in the *Jewish Press* (Sept. 10, 1982, p. 21) stated, "Jews are less than 3% of the population of the world . . ." Since the world population is now about 4.5 billion, this means that there are almost 4.5 x .03 or 135,000,000 Jews. Yet the world Jewish population according to the 1982 *World Almanac* is only 14,527,150. The Jewish computer seems to be more out of whack than ever.

Primate Watch



It has been five years since **LARRY FLYNT** was "born again" at the hands of Jimmy Carter's preacher-sister **RUTH CARTER STAPLETON**. Flynt still considers himself a good Christian and sees his spiritual mid-wife regularly -- and he still publishes his unspeakably vile magazine, *Hustler*.

☆ ☆ ☆

In their first two months after **IRA KIRSCHENBAUM** and associates opened their "Free Phone Sex" line, 1.5 million calls were received. Parents whose children had gotten the number are pleased with neither their phone bills (Ma Bell charges for every call) nor the content of the message. Says New York Telephone spokesman Mark Kenville, "We are not censors. Telephone conversations are none of our business, except when it's an annoyance call."

☆ ☆ ☆

The judge has made legal mistakes which may invalidate a hundred cases or more. His decisions are often arbitrary and unjustifiable. He allows excessive break time and short working hours. Repeatedly, he must be reminded of what the law says by attorneys in court. The complaints against **WILLIAM ORMSBY**, 46, began coming in shortly after California Governor **JERRY BROWN** appointed him to the Inglewood Municipal Court bench last year. Both the District Attorney's office and the state Commission on Judicial Performance are now examining formal complaints against the black onetime president of the Inglewood Democratic Club.

☆ ☆ ☆

The latest celebrity entrant in the interracial adoption sweepstakes is **JULIE ANDREWS**. The Nordic actress has adopted two Vietnamese orphans herself, and, as a board member of Operation California, is trying to persuade other potential parents of that state to substitute yellow skin for yellow hair in their offspring.

☆ ☆ ☆

Among the "neoconservative" (and paleo-cosmopolitan) intellectuals, **BEN WATTENBERG** has consistently been the biggest cheerleader for nonwhite immigration to America. A recent column of his is headlined "Russia Shrinks; Why Should We?" Russian women, he observes, are having only 1.9 children each; American women are having even fewer. That could spell trouble -- but wait: "America has a secret weapon allowing it to grow in a healthy way." Poor people the world over want to relocate here instead of in Russia, and, of course, they will all make "fine citizens." Why, "with a moderately expansionist immigration policy, America can grow, re-

main strong and influential, able to support our [sic] values in a turbulent world."

☆ ☆ ☆

The rewriting of Western history from an egalitarian optic is a mammoth undertaking, with roles aplenty for great hacks and small. **MAURICE FREEDMAN**, a document examiner of lilliputian stature, has "discovered" that 55 of the 56 signers of the Declaration of Independence were probably cowards who had Ben Franklin forge their names. By hedging their bets in that way, says Freedman, they supposedly would have avoided execution for sedition had the document fallen into British hands.

☆ ☆ ☆

According to **SALLY ENGLE MERRY**, the author of *Urban Danger: Life in a Neighborhood of Strangers*, America's post-1960 crime wave is really just a "fear wave," the figment of white Americans' overwrought imaginations. As one young black woman told Merry, "To you, the boys are all dangerous because you can't tell them apart, but to me, they are George, Johnny, and Jamesy, and I know who to look out for and who will not bother me."

☆ ☆ ☆

A 32-year-old Manhattan man named Mr. S may now legally adopt his 43-year-old lover, identified as Mr. H. Justice **SIDNEY ASCH** of the state appeals court admits that, "At first blush it may seem to be a perversion of the adoption process for lovers to adopt one another." But, he continues, New York law allows adults to be adopted and we mustn't discriminate.

☆ ☆ ☆

The press loves to front-page great art heists and would-be heists, but hardly anyone heard about last summer's plot to steal minor masterpieces by Monet, Manet, Degas, and Whistler from Connecticut's Hill-Stead Museum. An undercover FBI agent infiltrated the scheme to steal 10 paintings worth \$25 million and then threaten to shred them unless \$7.5 million ransom was paid. Arrested in the case were investment brokers **FRANCIS KREGELSTEIN** and **ISRAEL D. GLASSMAN**, along with **WALTER KREGELSTEIN** and **PHILIP SHAPIRO**.

☆ ☆ ☆

On November 16, 1960, more than a thousand students and parents marched on City Hall in New Orleans to protest the forced enrollment of little **RUBY BRIDGES** and three other Negro children in two local schools. The protesters were blasted away with fire hoses, a scene which left a somewhat more delible impression on the American conscience than the hosing of black

demonstrators in Selma, Alabama, a few years later. Today, Ruby Bridges is a travel agent with three children of her own. Since the New Orleans schools which she helped crash are now mostly black and mostly disorderly, she has done the only sensible thing: enrolled her small fry in a parochial school.

☆ ☆ ☆

Two candidates in the Alabama gubernatorial race were the "virtually blind" former governor James Folsom and the "nearly deaf and half-blind" former governor **GEORGE CORLEY WALLACE**. Wallace, the square-jawed little bantam who won an unprecedented fourth term last month, is said to resemble the Jewish actor Edward G. Robinson. "I never advocated anything for the devil," is how he apologizes for his past segregationist theatrics -- why, even the good Hubert Humphrey's people were talking about having him on their ticket before his shooting in 1972! The "new" Wallace pumps hard for black votes. When someone thrust a petition at him which demanded the early release of two black women convicted of gross voting fraud, he eagerly signed it and posed for a picture with the petitioner. Later he claimed he had not known what the petition said. Justice may be blind in more ways than one way now that Wallace has been elected Alabama's governor again.

☆ ☆ ☆

In Little Rock, Arkansas, during the wee hours on Sunday, August 22, an 18-year-old black youth was arrested for public intoxication after patrons at a movie theater complained of his spitting in their hair. He proved to be the same **LEROY WILLIAMS** who created a national scandal last spring by claiming that, as a page, he had sex with three male members of Congress. Several days after his theater arrest, Williams confirmed a nearly universal suspicion by admitting he had lied about the three congressmen, and about a senator for whom he once claimed to have arranged a homosexual liaison with a male prostitute. The Justice Department is continuing a criminal investigation of alleged drug use in Congress, but its sexual misconduct inquiry has been terminated. Since Williams named names, he managed to temporarily wreck the lives of several prominent individuals of his personal choosing.

☆ ☆ ☆

The evidence was overwhelming that **NATHANIEL LANE** helped kill white motorist Benny Higdon during Miami's 1980 race riot. Yet three times his murder trials ended in hung juries, so he was released from jail last December. Only months later, police were charging him in the shooting and robbing of Patrick Ritchie, 18, who may be paralyzed for life, one more white notch in Lane's gun.



Canada. Not long ago, as the 250 convicts at Millhaven maximum security prison were sitting down to a light midday repast of soup, braised beef ribs, peas, baked potato, salad, juice and dessert, they learned that the milk truck had failed to deliver. They would not be getting milk with their meal, so they angrily refused to eat. This alarmed the editor of *Canadian Hotel and Restaurant* magazine, who asked the chefs of Corrections Canada what kind of slop they were feeding the hardened offenders. Here is a typical Monday menu:

Breakfast: chilled orange juice, corn-flakes, milk, brown or white sugar, grilled side bacon, fried egg, toasted white or brown bread, strawberry jam, tea or coffee

Lunch: minestrone, pan-broiled pork chops, apple sauce on lettuce leaf, dairy whipped potatoes, buttered green peas, carrot and raisin salad, mayonnaise, white or brown bread, Dutch apple pie with cheese, and tea, coffee or hot chocolate.

Supper: mock clam chowder, pot roast beef, vegetable gravy, franconia potatoes, mashed turnips, devilled salad, French dressing, lemon Jello with peanut butter cookies, white or rye bread, and tea, coffee or milk.

The menu gets better later on. Roast beef and steak are generally served twice a week; occasionally there are shrimp, scallops and bacon-wrapped fillets of beef. A Canadian prison food supervisor acknowledged, "Collectively, Canadian prison inmates are the best-fed people in Canada." Menus may not be altered for disciplinary reasons, so the days of bread and water are only a bad memory in the 4-star restaurants of Canadian prisons.

Britain. The longer this nation waits to repatriate its nonwhite population, the harder the task will be. *The Economist* (Apr. 24) made this clear by printing side-by-side the 1971 "population pyramids" for Britain's total and black (mostly West Indian) populations. Even back in 1971, the great majority

of blacks under the age of 10 were native-born (though the children of illegal immigrants, usually missed in the census, were often foreign-born).

Very few black Britons were over the age of 50, but the percentage in their preschool years ran at twice the level of the total population (which also included many young Asians). Since millions of white Britons were alarmed by the 1971 racial tally, the headcounters had just the answer in 1981: they forbade all mention of race.

* * *

There are more than 40 resolutions before the Tory conference demanding the disenfranchisement of an estimated 500,000 Irish citizens in the United Kingdom (those born in Britain are British citizens and others have taken citizenship, usually dual). This is a ploy of the Party chiefs to defuse the campaign for the repatriation of coloureds, which has been developing great impetus. A green herring using anti-Irish resentment will distract attention from the coloured issue. Of course, the Tories have no intention of allowing any change in the status quo of either the Irish or the minorities.

Switzerland. Were the U.S. broken into ten smaller parts, at least a few of them would develop sensible immigration policies. Then, as the gap in living standards between the smart and foolish sections widened, the dummies would begin to wise up. In the present sordid arrangement, the minority blocs in states like New York and California not only force the entire nation to accept localized racial transformation today, but promise to spread the mess everywhere tomorrow through free internal migration. In short, Americans have a lot less control over their destiny than smaller peoples like the Swiss.

The Swiss method of handling aliens is precisely the opposite. The U.S. tries to stop or process people at the border, but once inside they are basically on their own. The Swiss border examination is largely a for-

mality; the real inspection is internal, and everyone contributes. Switzerland has a long tradition of profound respect for the institution of citizenship, so the officials concerned are not called "immigration" people but rather "La Police des Étrangers."

The Swiss system is easily enforced, because every citizen has identification documents and must carry them whenever he travels. He presents them when he registers at a hotel. When he moves permanently, he "checks out" of one town and into another. If he does not, the local post office takes note and alerts the authorities. Most of the red tape is handled locally, as in many other European lands. Consequently, Europeans have some rough idea of how many *étrangers* are in their midst. Would that Americans were as fortunate!

Russia. Boris Kanyevski and Valery Senderov are two young mathematicians who have spent a great deal of time probing the declining number of Jewish students in Moscow State University's math department. According to Kanyevski, only 10% of Jewish applicants are accepted, compared to an 80% acceptance rate for non-Jews. After these figures had been made public, Kanyevski and Senderov were hustled off to jail to await trial on charges of "preparing and distributing anti-Soviet propaganda."


Needless to say, Israel's invasion of Lebanon and all the subsequent horror have raised simmering Soviet anti-Semitism a few degrees closer to the boiling point.

Egypt. Government plans call for the resettlement of at least 500,000 people in the Sinai by the year 2000. A more realistic goal would be 250,000. Even moving a million would not alleviate the overcrowding along the Nile since Egypt's population is currently increasing by that much every ten months. Couples average 6.6 children each. Anwar Sadat once called Egyptian demographics a "nightmare," but Moslem fundamentalists still see contraception as evil and tear down family planning posters.

Desert reclamation projects cost upwards of \$2,000 an acre. Last year, Egypt added some 50,000 acres to its 5.7 million acres of cultivated land, but lost another 50,000 to new housing and industrial developments. Since the lost land was more fertile, the net loss was equivalent to 20,000 good acres.

Egypt's 44 million people live on only 4% of the land, so the din of cars and people in places like central Cairo makes midtown Manhattan seem a marvel of tranquility. Still, the short, thin-bodied Egyptians remain notably polite, in marked contrast to their brusque, burly Ashkenazic neighbors to the north.

One and a half million Egyptian men have gone to work in the oil fields of Saudi Arabia and the Gulf states, yet unemployment remains chronic. Hotels and restaurants abound in waiters with college degrees. Where demand so badly outstrips supply, corruption is endemic. Obtaining a luxury



ilat in Cairo requires paying the landlord up to \$40,000 in "key money."

Half the food consumed nationally must be imported. Prices are kept artificially low with massive government subsidies -- nearly 30% of the latest budget. The alternative is more food riots, as in 1977. One official says the situation "is not hopeless," then prudently adds, "It's almost hopeless."

Israel. The extent of Israeli connivance in the Beirut massacre of September 16-18 may never be known, but suggestive indicators began emerging almost immediately. Timothy McNulty, Middle East correspondent of the *Chicago Tribune*, reported on September 20 that the slaughter, which claimed an estimated 1,800 Palestinian civilian lives, lasted more than 36 hours, not the two hours which Israel had originally claimed, and that the killers' entry into the Shatila and Sabra refugee camps was a well-coordinated operation.

Interviews with Western medical personnel at or near the massacre site revealed that victims had begun straggling into the nearby Gaza hospital by early Thursday evening. On Friday, the hospital itself was hit by sniper fire, and the killing did not stop until 8 A.M. Saturday morning. Through it all, Israeli soldiers manned a seven-story observation post only 200 yards distant. From the post they could see the entire Shatila camp and had a "direct sightline" to a mass grave where debris and human bodies were mashed together by bulldozers.

A variety of witnesses indicated to McNulty that the "Christian" militiamen had their staging ground at the Israeli-controlled Beirut Airport and their temporary headquarters in Israeli-controlled territory just outside the Shatila camp's southern entrance. When the gunmen occupied the two camps on Thursday evening, they did so with explicit Israeli consent.

Both the Phalangist soldiers of the late president-elect of Lebanon, Bashir Gemayel, and the Israeli-backed troops of Major Saad Haddad denied involvement in the atrocity. But a Lebanese policeman at Beirut Airport admitted that Haddad had been there on Saturday. Correspondent Loren Jenkins of the *Washington Post* reported seeing men from both Gemayel's and Haddad's units in Shatila on Friday.

The Israelis claimed they stopped the killing of civilians as soon as they became aware of it, but nearly all the evidence suggests they let the mayhem run its course. The cabinet of Menahem Begin called charges of connivance a "blood libel," yet on September 21, it elected not to call a commission of inquiry into the killings. This complacency enraged the Israeli political opposition, which was already calling for Begin's resignation. "Not one minister knew?" asked the incredulous Labor party leader, Shimon Peres. "No one was told? Is this a children's game?" Finally Begin caved in and a commission was formed. But whether it will get to the heart of the matter or merely serve

as a cover-up for the Begin-Sharon minigenocide, only time will tell. Several sessions of the commission have been held in secret.

Meanwhile, the worldwide moral equation of Israel with the Ultimate Bad Guys left Jews everywhere howling. Zev Chafets, director of the Israeli government press office, complained, "It trivializes the Holocaust."

Rabbi Meir Kahane of the Jewish Defense League wrote a long column in the *New York Times* to explain why Jews should be euphoric. The entire West Bank belongs to Jewry, Kahane proclaimed, "because the God of Israel, Creator and Titleholder of all lands, gave it to us." Beware of guilt, he admonished the Jews, "it is a powerful weapon" of the enemy. Do not lose your Jewish self-respect, and "long for the love of a hating world . . ."

On August 6, a senior Israeli official darkly warned that the imposition of U.S. sanctions against Israel (that'll be the day!) would be certain to backfire, producing an Israeli military reaction that could make the siege of Beirut "look like peanuts."


A good example of Israel's erratic nature came the very next day when two Israeli F-16s staged mock attacks against two American helicopters from the aircraft carrier *Forrestal*, often coming as close as 150 feet.

On August 8 there was more harassment. An Israeli commander treated authorized American evacuation planners "like prisoners of war" as Jewish troops drove trucks onto a landing zone to prevent U.S. helicopters from intervening. Meanwhile an Israeli gunboat had locked its fire-control radar onto a helicopter with the U.S. Sixth Fleet. Apparently no shots were fired in the various incidents, but American officials took a very grim view of the provocation. Said one, "Sharon was sending us a message." An Israeli politician agreed, noting, "Sharon believes that the Americans can be pushed around a great deal. And so far, he's been right." (Earlier Sharon was quoted as having boasted, "I have the survivability of a superpower. No criticisms or opposition will harm me.")

Intelligence reports on the harassment episodes concluded they were not the work of local commanders acting independently. Higher-ups in Jerusalem always knew what was going on, which suggested that a faction in the government opposed American intervention in any form. In light of the murderous Israeli attack against the *U.S.S. Liberty* in 1967, such actions by our "valiant little ally" cannot be discounted. (In an unrelated incident on September 15, an Israeli officer took a shot at a U.S. Marine standing guard atop our embassy in Beirut, missing him by two feet. The Israeli troops had just been ordered not to shoot at the embassy, but the officer insisted he thought the Marine was a leftist militiaman.)

Almost reluctantly Reagan banned the delivery of any more cluster bombs to the Israeli military machine, but it was later discovered that a "blunder" had permitted the uninterrupted delivery of all but the outer casings of such bombs. The Israelis can easily make the outer casings themselves. Reagan has also held up the sale of 75 more F-16s promised to Israel. Though he changed his mind later, Sharon stated that it would not be "logical" to give the U.S. military information gleaned from the air and land battles with the Syrians while the hold on the F-16s was still in place. He felt he could safely ignore the fact that 457 of Israel's 567 combat planes were paid for with American grants and loans. Though Weinberger has said the U.S. has "no control" over Israel or its forces, there is an obvious *potential* for almost total control.

"Without spare parts," writes Dan Morgan of the *Washington Post*, "Israel's military machine would grind to a halt. It gets \$500 million worth of those parts annually from 15,000 U.S. companies." Israel's current budget of \$20 billion is actually equal to the nation's entire gross national product, a seeming miracle made possible only by an American subsidy, direct and indirect, of perhaps \$10 billion a year. The cash cost of Israel's war in Lebanon has already hit \$5 billion. This does not count the \$10 billion damage the Israeli armed forces did to the



A Soviet view of Shatila



little country.

According to a report on the Israeli economy issued in March by the Agency for International Development, virtually all the equipment in the Israeli armed forces has been obtained under our government's foreign military sales program. Of the \$28 billion which the program has distributed worldwide since 1951, Israel has received \$15 billion. But this may be only half the story. A new Government Accounting Office study reveals that Israel has received \$8 billion more in aid than Congress had authorized.

The supply of U.S. military equipment to Israel shot up during the opening months of 1982, leading to widespread speculation about advance U.S. knowledge of the June invasion. Arms supply figures compiled by the Defense Security Assistance Agency (DSAA) show that in the first quarter of 1982, Israel took delivery of \$217,695,000 worth of American military equipment, nearly ten times the value of U.S. military goods delivered during the same quarter of 1980, and 40% above the level for 1981. Furthermore, the weapons delivered were precisely the ones needed and used in the invasion. Claudia Wright of the *Toronto Star* concludes, "Starting at least three months before the invasion of Lebanon commenced, the Pentagon clearly knew that Israel was stockpiling weapons at a massive and costly rate."

The DSAA documents, released in August, contradicted earlier Pentagon assertions that there had been no large increase in U.S. arms deliveries to Israel this year, but supported the assertions of both Jimmy Carter and Ariel Sharon. Carter told the editors of the *Atlanta Journal* that "very knowledgeable people in Israel" had informed him that the Reagan administration gave Israel a "green light" to invade Lebanon. Sharon suggested in a radio broadcast that Caspar Weinberger and former Secretary of State Alexander Haig had had advance knowledge of the invasion plans and had approved them.

Needless to say, there has been no interruption in the flow of U.S. spare parts and "follow-up support" to Israel in the wake of the June 6 invasion.

Finally, one must note the jolt which President Reagan received when Begin visited the White House following the invasion. Begin told him not to worry about congressional reaction because "I can handle" Capitol Hill. According to syndicated columnists Rowland Evans and Robert Novak, "Begin's claim to have such persuasive powers in the U.S. Congress flashed a warning signal to Reagan about the American-Israeli relationship." It was high time! Who can wonder that a recent poll of West Bank Arabs showed that 72% admire the Soviet Union more than the U.S., while only 1.6% feel the other way around.

South Africa. Mrs. Irene Buchanan's Wake-Up is one of several groups here working for a policy of white separatism to replace white supremacy (see Elsewhere, July 1982). Far more influential than the attractive Natal housewife, however, is a former Dutch Reformed minister with the auspicious name of Hendrik Frensch Verwoerd, Jr. Verwoerd's father was the South African prime minister who, twenty years ago, devised the policy of establishing separate tribal homelands for most of the country's blacks. That policy is failing, partly because urban blacks here produce an average of six children per family and thereby outstrip the best relocation efforts. Worse yet, many whites have become dependent (or so they believe) upon cheap black labor.

Verwoerd and a growing number of his fellow Afrikaners now believe that it is easier to move the white islands than the black sea. So, as full-time secretary for an organization called the *Oranjewerkers* (Orange Workers), he is trying to have parts of the country designated as "whites-only" zones. There, whites would do their own housework, collect their own garbage, sweep their own streets -- just as they did in many other prospering white countries until very recently. In South Africa such thinking is almost revolutionary. Yet, perched as they are over the abyss of black revolution, more and more local leaders are becoming interested in Verwoerd's brand of apartheid.

Carel Boshoff is chairman of the powerful Broederbond secret society. If one doesn't belong to the Broederbond, one doesn't go anywhere in the ruling Nationalist party. Chairman Boshoff happens to be Verwoerd's brother-in-law, and he also happens to support his views.

The Orange Workers (named for William the Silent, Prince of Orange, the 16th-century symbol of Dutch freedom in the struggle against Spanish domination) are also well connected to the South African Bureau of Racial Affairs, the leading conservative think tank on race policy. And they are associating themselves with the new, break-away Conservative party, which nearly won its first contested election August 18.

The Orange Workers have already earmarked three "growth points" for the start of their project. One is a narrow arc about 100 miles long curving to the south of Johannesburg. A second straddles the Orange River separating the Orange Free State and Cape Province. The third is a narrow coastal strip beginning at Port Elizabeth and stretching 275 miles westward. The new ideal is white self-sufficiency within more compact settlements. Only when these growth points have been racially consolidated will they be permitted to expand.

Allister Sparks, the renegade former editor of the *Rand Daily Mail*, who now makes a living badmouthing South Africa in the *Washington Post*, calls this sensible, attain-

able scheme "a revealing oddity, a caricature of the Afrikaners' racial behavior," but has to admit that it reveals "the depth of their survival imperative in a continent where they are heavily outnumbered but which they cannot leave without losing their identity."

According to Verwoerd, "Our whole purpose is to be realistic. We must get away from the purely theoretical and help whites face up to the practical implications of the policy of separation." By the year 2020, he notes, whites will be only 10% of the total South African population, and Afrikaners will be just 60% of the whites. Only by banding together in homogeneous enclaves can Afrikaners hope to survive.

The newsletter *Oranje* is sent to 1,000 dues-paying members and to 5,000 other important Afrikaners. It exhorts the *volk* to kick the servant habit and eschew all forms of luxury. One typical reader announced her discovery of the dish-drying rack. Given sufficient time, she explained, even the wettest dishes would "come dry" without the towel-wielding intervention of nonwhites. Only those who have not lived in South Africa or the late Rhodesia will find this breathless attitude amusing. The readers of *Oranje* find it downright inspirational.

Verwoerd himself is a pacesetter in the self-reliance field. He spends weekdays with his sister, Anna, and her husband, Carel Boshoff, at their fine Pretoria home, and weekends with his mother, wife and five children at a nine-acre retreat on the banks of the Vaal River. Both homes are 100% servant free.

Australia. An Australian religious magazine (Holocaust religion, that is) called *Quadrant* recently came out with an article alleging that the American far right is warming up to the Soviet Union, not for its Marxism or imperialism, but for its social policies -- safe streets, no porn, no drug plague, no forced busing, no affirmative action, and so on. The news might come as a shock to hardcore Reaganites who look as unkindly on Russia as do the Birchites and Beginites.

In the course of the article, the author, an English instructor at Monash University, quotes a long paragraph from *Instauration*, which he described as "America's glossiest and most affluent" far-right publication. For the information of *Quadrant* readers, we gave up our glossy pages many moons ago, keeping only a semi-glossy cover. As for "affluent," what can we do but sadly smirk? Our critics, who include just about everyone who writes about us, have never been known to have much regard for ἀλήθεια (alethia), the very apt Greek word for truth, which has an etymological connection with "unforgetting." Lethe, it might be noted, was the river of forgetfulness.

In accusing us of affluence, *Quadrant* has plunged so deeply into the Lethean darkness that the truth has all but drowned.

Black Africa. Each year, thousands of albinos are born on the dusky continent. Formerly, they were regarded as bad omens and usually put to death at once. Things are a little better now, but taunts and savage stares remain the Negro albino's lot. The American physician Simon Holland interviewed 300 such albinos in Rhodesia in 1967, and not one could say that he or she was happy with life. Each told a tale of extreme lonesomeness and shunning. Only five of the men could find work, and not one of the women was married. Few had been allowed to attend school. And skin blisters and eyestrain were a way of life for all but the most cautious.

Instaurionists are indebted to Negro albinos, who unwittingly serve as ambulatory museums of race. No one can see many of these unfortunate people and imagine that race is simply a matter of skin color. Indeed, we wish them well and hope they reproduce their kind a bit, so that more of our dim-eyed and easily distracted countrymen will be able to see in a flash the true meaning of *Négritude*.

China. This land of nearly a billion people is so xenophobic that, until very recently, romances between Chinese and foreigners

were allegedly running at an estimated rate of two or three a year! By 1981, however, the number had jumped to 60 annually, by one Western diplomat's estimate, and the alarmed government was working to slow the trend. Peking certainly has the people behind its effort: Chinese girls are routinely called prostitutes for having even a single date with a foreign man. A Chinese-American psychologist, visiting relatives in Shanghai, analyzed the racist sentiment:

[E]ssentially there is a primordial feeling of rejection. A Chinese man marrying a foreign woman is rejecting Chinese womanhood, the pride of the nation, and a Chinese woman marrying a foreign man, preferring him to a Chinese husband, is even worse. For a race as ancient and proud as the Chinese, there is a revulsion at such marriages. I know -- my wife is not Chinese.

The American wife of another Chinese reported fearing he would be slaughtered: "Our marriage brought out a tremendous amount of viciousness in some people. A very deep, visceral emotion must be released when a Chinese marries a foreigner." That healthy viscosity, and our own lack of same, helps explain why the mixed couple has settled in her country, not his.

Cuba. Lenin once cynically predicted that capitalist bankers would supply the rope for their own hanging. In the case of Cuba, leading banks in Canada, West Germany, France, Italy, Spain and other Western countries, as well as Japan and the Arab states, now have \$2.6 billion owed to them. A lot of the debt comes due in the next 12 months, and the foolish moneylenders have no choice but to reschedule Castro's loan payments. Another \$7 billion or so is owed to Moscow, but, after all, Cuba is the Soviets' favorite rat hole, and only one of many for the West.

The only major lending country which did not get sucked into the Cuban scam was America. That was only because Castro confiscated nearly \$2 billion worth of U.S. property following his revolution, and Washington called an embargo. The other Western nations have rewarded Castro's hatred for them with "general-purpose" loans, meaning that old Blackbeard gets to spend the money as he sees fit. Try telling your neighborhood bank that you'd like a "general-purpose" loan! Rather than using his funds to diversify the Cuban economy, Castro has sent thousands of troops adventuring in Angola, Ethiopia and other faraway places. Today, Cuba is more dependent on the sugar harvest than it was 25 years ago.

Stirrings

Concealed Evidence

British intelligence services, having cracked the coded radio transmissions of the SS and the German police, obtained from early 1942 to February 1943 lists of prisoners at Dachau, Buchenwald and Auschwitz, including the number of inmates, new arrivals and "departures by any means." This interesting piece of news appeared almost four decades after the fact in *British Intelligence in the Second World War. Its Influence on Strategy and Operations* by F.H. Hinsley. It comes in two volumes and has just been published by the Cambridge University Press in England.

The New York Review (Oct. 21, 1982) commented, "So far we have had no public account of what happened to this information, who knew about it, and why it took so long for the news to spread."

One would think that this data would be most relevant to the truth, falsehood or exaggeration of the Holocaust story. Could it be that this is why the news about it has been withheld so long? Could it be that this is why the information itself may be withheld forever?

Archie Was Right

Too bad actress Sally Struthers was not a consultant for *The Shikse's Guide to Jewish Men* (Inklings, October). Her marriage to Dr. William Rader, which *People* magazine called "one of Hollywood's liveliest and seemingly most loving," came crashing

down recently, and she has learned some things the hard way:

The three men I've been seriously involved with have all been New York Jews, and opposites attract, sure, but then they start to repel. For them, a blond, blue-eyed Lutheran from the Northwest is very exciting, but it doesn't work and I'm determined not to make that same mistake again. Next time around I won't go barking up that tree. I'm looking for a bland WASP, like myself. John Davidson. That's who I need. It would be so much easier. Or maybe a logger. I've always been attracted to men in plaid shirts who eat meat and potatoes and call their wives the little woman.

But Sally's education is far from complete. She still keeps plugging for that organization that sends money overseas to Third World orphans, so that there will be more and more Third World orphans in the future.

Racial Tease in Movieland

Our favorite film critic is Michael Walsh of the *Vancouver* (B.C.) *Province*. He earned the accolade with his no-nonsense look at screenwriter Israel Horowitz's "worm-witted marital-breakdown comedy" called "Author! Author!"

In a movie so boundlessly dumb, it is hard to pick out a single dumbest dumbness. Are we really expected to believe that a WASP-maiden like [Tuesday] Weld would trade borscht-belt invective with a

Mediterranean Catholic archetype like [Al] Pacino?

Good question. We're glad he asked it. Because in all the pseudo-erudite razzle-dazzle which passes for "American film criticism," this is the first time we've ever heard anyone raise a down-to-earth, literally *flesh-and-bones* question like that.

Bilious Bill, Mettlesome Mike and Gutsy Georgie

A week or so after the Shatila massacre in Beirut, William F. Buckley Jr., the sic-the-Nazis sycophant of Zionism, columnized that Israel was a nation that "has pursued high ideals." This means it must also have been a nation in pursuit of "high ideals" after it had harried millions of Palestinians out of their home sweet homes, after Deir Yassin, Kafr Kassem and sundry other bloodbaths. If Buckley had been alive at the time of Moses, he would no doubt have called the Israelis "idealists" after Yahweh's massacre of all the Egyptian firstborn. (Many more Egyptian firstborn were killed by "idealistic" Israelis in the 1967 and 1973 wars.)

A few -- very few -- American columnists have, at least for the moment, given up their routine adoration of Israel for some pointed and heated criticism. Mike Royko, the Chicago *Sun-Times* columnist, actually proposed an international war crimes trial (à la Nuremberg) for Begin and Generalissimo Sharon. Georgie Anne Geyer, a nationally syndicated columnist, keeps hitting Israel hard, despite "obscene letters, bomb

threats, threatening calls to editors to get them to cancel our columns."

Before Georgie Anne goes much further, she better ponder what happened to another lady columnist, Dorothy Thompson, when after years of praising Jews, she began to say a few words for the Palestinians. Never has any prominent journalist passed so quickly into oblivion.

Halting the Buses

For the first time, the Justice Department has asked a federal court to restrict a busing plan already in effect. Louisiana's East Baton Rouge Parish school system is about half black, but court-ordered busing which began last fall is causing thousands of white students to withdraw. This is actually increasing the amount of racial segregation, a phenomenon already familiar in Little Rock, Norfolk, Jacksonville, Augusta, Nashville and many other Southern (and non-Southern) cities hit by busing.

The civil rights division at Justice, headed by William Bradford Reynolds, recalls that the Supreme Court's command has always been that "effective" desegregation remedies be found "now." Yet experience has proven court-ordered busing to be "a failed experiment." Under previous administrations, "white flight," regardless of its scope, was never considered a justifiable reason for halting the buses. Should the courts accept the new federal position, major school desegregation cases in cities like Boston, Cleveland, Detroit, Denver and Memphis may be reopened.

In the notorious 1971 case of *U.S. v. Scotland Neck Board of Education*, the Supreme Court called for "a complete uprooting of the dual public school system." Now the Justice Department admits the Court "badly miscalculated community response" -- yet, paradoxically, it still insists the Baton Rouge district is in violation of the Constitution.

A growing number of school systems are independently cutting back on busing in last-ditch attempts to keep a few token white students around. This is true in Little Rock, where 65% of the students are now black; in Memphis, where white enrollment has recently plunged from 50% to 24%; and in Norfolk, where whites are down to 40%. Even in Seattle, the public schools are now 37% minority -- about the same as in Vancouver, British Columbia! In Seattle, immigration (and not the 14,000 bused students) is the real culprit.

The white parents of children in private schools shoulder a terrific double burden. In Mississippi, for example, the average expenditure per student in 1980-81 was \$1,536.56 for the public schools and \$785 for the private schools (a figure which includes the elite private schools). The parents of Mississippi's 34,000 private students are thus saving the state \$52 million per year.

Mystery Sub Surfaces

As Israeli jet planes murderously attacked the *U.S.S. Liberty* in 1967, an American submarine, close at hand, watched and filmed everything through its periscope. The sub was under strict orders not to break its radio silence, and could do nothing to help, so the frustration level on board must have been fantastic. Jim Ennes, who was on the bridge of the *Liberty* during the attack, and later wrote *Assault on the Liberty* (Random House, 1980), is almost 100% certain the sub story is true. The first confirmation of his suspicions occurred while he was still recuperating from the attack at Portsmouth (Virginia) Naval Hospital. A fellow *Liberty* survivor came to him pale and nearly speechless. Finally, he blurted out:

My God! Were you on the *Liberty*? We were there. We saw it all. Our captain took pictures of the attack through the periscope but we couldn't do anything to help because we were on a special mission with strict radio silence, but we got the hell out of there as soon as we could and sent an officer courier from Rota [Spain] to the Pentagon with the films.

In the following year, Ennes confirmed the story with a *Liberty* officer and with at least three knowledgeable people at cryptological headquarters in Washington. Yet, to this day, requests to the Navy made under the Freedom of Information Act result in the reply that no record of the submarine's film exists.

Accounts of the mystery sub appear both in Ennes's book and in the new *U.S.S. Liberty Newsletter*, produced by the Liberty Veterans Association, P.O. Box 8538A, Orlando, FL 32856 (\$10/year). The newsletter includes plenty of inside dope on the suppression of the *Liberty* story. For example, a feature on ABC's "Good Morning America" was cancelled after heavy pressure from the Israeli embassy; a story on CBS's "60 Minutes" was abruptly scratched by the network's management; a critical editorial in the *Journal of Electronic Defense* was eliminated when "advisers" protested. The newsletter also notes the existence of a *U.S.S. Liberty* memorial inside the Admiral Wenger Navy Cryptological Museum. The museum is at the Naval Security HQ near Ward Circle in Washington, but it is not open to the public.

The Light of Day

Donald Day was the *Chicago Tribune's* correspondent in northeastern Europe for more than 22 years. In 1920 he was invited to visit Russia by the Soviets. But on his arrival in Riga, Latvia, the Communist government refused to grant him a visa. From then until July 1940, when the Soviets overran Latvia and forced him out, Day waited and persistently reapplied for the promised

visa. During these years American correspondents swarmed through Moscow, generally lauding the Soviet experiment in terms acceptable to the Kremlin. Day, on the other hand, surrendered promotions and prestige in the belief that to join his compatriots was to join the Red propaganda apparatus. Instead he traveled throughout Finland, Poland, Danzig and the Baltic states, reporting from each country several times a year.

Day was considered one of the West's leading authorities on Bolshevism, but that did not help him when war finally came and the *Tribune* syndicate (some 80 newspapers), under intense State Department pressure, began censoring his dispatches. Day responded, in 1942, by writing *Onward Christian Soldiers*, which recounted a generation of travels, adventures and first-hand observations.

Day was convinced that America had joined the wrong side in World War II and that Roosevelt's policy was "the policy of the Dirty Dollar." The experience and thought behind these convictions is available from Noontide Press (Box 1248, Torrance, CA 90505) for \$7 postpaid. *Onward Christian Soldiers* includes an introduction by Walter Trohan, former chief of the *Tribune's* Washington bureau.

* * *

Also available from Noontide: *The Secret of Jonestown: The Reason Why*, by Ed Dieckman, Jr. (\$6), and *Sketches from Roman History* by the Georgia populist Thomas E. Watson (\$4).

The first book relates the Jonestown mentality to widespread educational practices in America which go by many names (such as Auto-criticism, Awareness Group, Encounter Group, Democratic Discussion, Group Therapy, Operant Conditioning, Psychodrama, Racial Sensitivity Seminar, Sensitivity Training, etc.). All of these practices aim more or less at submergence of the individual beneath a group-mind. The author is a veteran California probation officer who does not shy away from entitling one chapter "The Zionist Connection."

As for the fire-eating populist Tom Watson, he was descended from Quakers and born, in 1856, in a log hut near Thomson, Georgia. It was not until about 1913 that he attacked the Jews -- especially in the person of pencil-maker and lynch-mob victim Leo Frank -- but that is what he is usually remembered for today. America's U.N. Ambassador, Jeane J. Kirkpatrick, recently delivered a tirade against the "Tom Watsons of the world" before Agudath Israel, a New York Jewish group. The original Tom Watson would not have been amused to learn that she meant by this the Third World nations, which bloc-vote against Israel at the U.N. As a writer, Watson was simple and direct without forfeiting descriptive power. The *Sketches from Roman History* make figures like the Gracchi, Sulla and Spartacus live for the contemporary reader.